From: Mary Meadows

To: <u>Trump, Shaianna L. - PDR</u>

Cc: Bo Bowden; Drgnlde@verizon.net; helen@haberdashercorporateapparel.com; Jo Lee Kenney

Subject: Concerning SUP for 3891 and 3803 Hanover Ave

Date: Monday, July 15, 2024 6:46:02 PM

You don't often get email from mmeadows1804@gmail.com. Learn why this is important

CAUTION: This message is from an external sender - Do not open attachments or click links unless you recognize the sender's address and know the content is safe.

Hello, I was given your email from neighbors in the 3900 block of Hanover Ave, Helen and Scott Illnicky.

On Friday 7/12/24 a letter was left on the doormat of 3905 Hanover Ave with the request for an SUP at 3801 and 3803 Hanover to put 4 townhomes in each of the backyards. I reside at 3903 Hanover Ave. I have been the homeowner since 1996.

I am against granting the SUP for the 3800 block of Hanover. It would increase the density. This section of Hanover Ave starting with the 3800 block and moving west is single family homes.

The SUP provides no parking for the 8 townhomes. The 2 homes already on the lots 3801 and 3803 rely on "on street" parking. Parking will become terrible for the surrounding blocks.

I see this as a "Domino effect" of the city allowing the rezoning of the lot at 3800 Grove Ave that was formally Dr O'Malley's dental office. A tiny rancher with parking that as we speak is becoming 6 townhomes. These town homes are selling at \$819,000. There is no way this can be seen as affordable housing. If the SUP is approved I believe the builder will then knock down the 2 affordable cape cods and request to put more town homes in their place.

Please meet with the neighbors and please deny this SUP.

Respectfully, Mrs Mary Meadows 3903 Hanover Ave Richmond 23221 804-840-7591 From: Scott Ilnicky

To: <u>Trump, Shaianna L. - PDR</u>

Cc: "Helen Ilnicky"

Subject: Follow up to yesterday"s call re: SUP 3801 and 3803 Hanover Avenue

Date: Tuesday, July 16, 2024 10:30:12 AM

Attachments: <u>image002.png</u>

You don't often get email from scott@haberdashercorporateapparel.com. Learn why this is important

CAUTION: This message is from an external sender - Do not open attachments or click links unless you recognize the sender's address and know the content is safe.

Hi Shaianna,

Thanks for calling me back after I left a message at your offices yesterday. I have shared your email address with other neighbors. Many of them have already contacted other folks, but I am sure you will hear from some of these folks in the coming days as well.

I am sure I seemed pretty fired up on the phone yesterday. I am fired up, but I had a chance to gather my thoughts and put them down on paper and I think that the argument is pretty powerful for denying this request for this Special Use Permit.

I think my objections (and I am sure others will have more and different ones) can be broken down into the six categories below:

- 1. Impact on quality of life
- 2. Diminished neighborhood character
- 3. Erosion of property value
- 4. Disruption to daily life
- Lack of need
- 6. Bad precedent

Let's start with *quality of life*. Our neighborhood is a wonderful one. It's beautiful with tree-lined streets and old (but also mostly modest) homes and quiet streets. Quadrupling the number of units on two properties means adding 16 vehicles, 16 – 32 people, 32 trash cans to that space and this block has no alley so the cans will be lining the streets. This is a large increase in noise and traffic and trash and most importantly will create real issues regarding parking. There are no parking spaces included in this project – which means those 16 cars will have to park on the street. There is not parking on Hamilton Street which means those cars will have to park on Reveille or Hanover.

Reveille is problematic because it is very narrow and already difficult for two-way traffic to pass if cars are parked on one side of the street let alone both sides. That means those 16 cars will have to park in front of the homes of the homeowners that are already in place. Our neighborhood does not have driveways. Everyone parks in front of their homes. The street is already full. It can't accommodate 16 new cars at one end of the block. I guess the builder would say tough it is city owned streets. The problem is our neighborhood has aged in place. Many of our neighbors have lived on the block longer than we have (32 years) and are getting on in age. It would be a hardship to have to park around the corner or 6 doors down with groceries. In a sense this is age discrimination if you discount the

needs of the elderly that have paid taxes for 30 years, raised their families, fixed up their homes, paid off their mortgages, and helped make this neighborhood special if you tell them you might need to move if parking in front of your home becomes difficult.

Next I would like to point out this project would *diminish the character* of this neighborhood. First of all backyards are the main green spaces in the neighborhood. Removing trees and building townhomes in backyards takes away the few precious green spaces a city neighborhood has. Also all of the homes are brick with slate roofs and most are nearly 100 years old. The old adage they don't build them like they used to is an adage for a reason. They don't. This cheap construction and lower quality building materials will be unsightly in our neighborhood. And while they might look clean and shiny on day 1, they won't survive the test of time and before long our neighborhood will have cheaply made worn out construction at the gateway to our neighborhood and on our block.

This is a good segue into *eroded property values*. A home is the largest investment most people have. I already mentioned that our neighborhood has a lot of older residents. Our homes are for the most part – our nest egg. When our property values are negatively impacted by development and a change to the neighborhood – it means the value of our homes go down. Many of our neighbors are retired and living on a fixed income. They are counting on the value of their property to be a source of income and ticket to their next move. To allow the greed of one company to impact the lives and the home values of an entire block of homes seems irresponsible behavior on the part of city leaders. And while values fall and it becomes harder to sell the homes on this block, I guarantee you that the inflated assessed value will not go down so it will be a double financial whammy for the existing residents.

I also wanted to point out that projects like this *disrupt daily life*. They are loud. They bring trash and debris to the neighborhood. It results in street closings and detours. For what – again the disruption to our daily lives for one man / company's greed. We already have one project going on at the corner of Grove and Reveille and one nearby at Grove and Thompson. Let me be frank – it is a pain in the neck.

I know there is a lot being written about housing shortages in this country. I get that. Clearly Richmond is addressing that. There are projects all around town. I don't think we need to solve the housing crisis on one block which seems to be what is happening. There are the two projects already mentioned and a third on Grove at Lafayette. Our block is already being surrounded by new development. I am not sure there is the *demand* in this particular space the builder anticipates. The project at Grove and Reveille began close to 3 years ago. The cute brick Cape Cod was removed and the land cleared. It was then vacant for over two years and looked awful – overgrown with weeds. Presumably the issue was the builder was having trouble pre-selling the homes. So that raises the question is demand that high?

Finally let's talk about *precedent*. I think allowing a property owner to subdivide their lot in this neighborhood and for all intent and purpose *build townhomes in their backyard* is a terrible precedent. What's next? This will result in more of the same happening all over the neighborhood in a money grab – at the expense of existing neighbors. How could you allow one person to do it and not another?

Three years ago when our neighborhood was alarmed to find out that during COVID

another developer had pushed through plans to tear down the Cape Cod at Grove and Reveille to build 6 units on a single lot., we were told the decision was made to allow it because a) the lot was already zoned for light commercial so in a sense this was a lower use of the land (by definition) than the existing use. And b) because the project was consistent with the Richmond Master Plan 300. By the builder's own admission this project is **not consistent with Richmond 300**.

So let's do the right thing here – and apply the Richmond 300 Plan consistently. Because once the city allows a builder to break from the recommendations of the plan they agreed to – we are all in trouble. Why have a plan in the first place?

I am sure my smarter and more eloquent neighbors will have more to add, but I know that everyone opposes this project and the rights of many should be considered before the greed of a few – especially when it is outside the current zoning recommendations.

Thank you for your time.

Scott

D. Scott Ilnicky
Chief Haberdasher
P) 804.878.7630
F) 804.658.3458
scott@haberdashercorporateapparel.com
We have launched a new and improved website

Same long address...

www.haberdashercorporateapparel.com

...Brand New Site

Looking for promotional products? – Start here - http://haberdasherapparel.espwebsite.com/

LinkedIn









From: PDR Land Use Admin
To: Trump, Shaianna L. - PDR

Subject: FW: RE Special Use Permit 3801-3803 Hanover Avenue

Date: Thursday, July 25, 2024 12:15:26 PM

From: pdc.rva@gmail.com <pdc.rva@gmail.com>

Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2024 2:54 PM

To: PDR Land Use Admin <dcdLandUseAdm@rva.gov> **Subject:** RE Special Use Permit 3801-3803 Hanover Avenue

You don't often get email from pdc.rva@gmail.com. Learn why this is important

CAUTION: This message is from an external sender - Do not open attachments or click links unless you recognize the sender's address and know the content is safe.

To Whom This May Concern:

My name is Reid Pierce and I live at 401 Malvern Avenue. I am writing to comment on the pending special use permit for 3801 and 3803 Hanover Ave. I believe that a subdivision and special use permit in order to create eight single-family attached homes in this location is inappropriate as it is not an infill location and infringes on an already established residential neighborhood. My request is that you deny this application.

Sincerely
Reid Pierce
Reid Pierce
(804) 363-2720
reidpierce27@gmail.com

From: Mary Meadows

To: PDR Land Use Admin; Trump, Shaianna L. - PDR

Cc: Phil Noonan; Aelise Noonan; Bo Bowden; Dina Weinstein; Tee Spennenerg; Helen; Scott Ilnicky; Jo Lee Kenney;

Breton, Andrew S. - City Council, Brown, Whitney H. - City Council Office

Subject: Against SUP 149810-2024

Date: Monday, March 3, 2025 7:03:04 PM

Some people who received this message don't often get email from mmeadows1804@gmail.com. <u>Learn why this</u> is important

CAUTION: This message is from an external sender - Do not open attachments or click links unless you recognize the sender's address and know the content is safe.

Hello, I am writing to voice my opposition to the SUP 149810-2024 placing 4/8 townhomes in the backyards of 3801 and 3803 Hanover Ave. I wrote to express my opposition when we first learned of the SUP in the summer of 2024. It will change the fabric of our neighborhood. These are small lots with cape cods. It is a dramatic increase in density. There is no parking provision. These townhomes have 3 bedrooms. They will bring in at least 8 cars but probably 16 cars where there is no room for more cars. I did not buy in the fan or museum district because I wanted more green space and mature trees.

I live at 3903 Hanover Ave. I purchased the house in 1996. I have been a home owner in the 23221 zip code area since 1989. I rented in the area after graduating from VCU in 1979. Please do not destroy our neighborhood by allowing these builders to increase the density. I did not vote for the Richmond 300 plan. There are lots of areas to add living spaces. Please leave the 3800 block of Hanover Ave alone.

Respectfully, Mary Meadows 804-840-7591

From: <u>Karen Zechini</u>

To: <u>Trump, Shaianna L. - PDR</u>

Subject: Special Use permit 3801,3803 Hanover Ave **Date:** Wednesday, March 19, 2025 4:52:01 PM

You don't often get email from kzechini@gmail.com. Learn why this is important

CAUTION: This message is from an external sender - Do not open attachments or click links unless you recognize the sender's address and know the content is safe.

I am adamantly opposed to granting a Special Use permit for construction of townhouses at 3801 and 3803 Hanover Avenue. Our area has already been overdeveloped recently...it needs to STOP! The roads were not built to handle this volume of traffic. Also, they do not fit in architecturally in the neighborhood (ugly!)

E. Karen Zechini 303-G N. Hamilton Street Richmond VA 23221 From: <u>Irene Gonzalez</u>

 To:
 Trump, Shaianna L. - PDR

 Subject:
 SUP 149810-2024

Date: Friday, March 21, 2025 8:59:17 AM

You don't often get email from irenemgonzalez@gmail.com. Learn why this is important

CAUTION: This message is from an external sender - Do not open attachments or click links unless you recognize the sender's address and know the content is safe.

To Whom It May Concern

I am opposed to the granting of of the Special Use Permit for constructions at 3801 and 3803 Hanover Ave

Regards, Irene Gonzalez 301 N Hamilton St Unit H Richmond Va 23221 From: Chip Stevens

To: Breton, Andrew S. - City Council; Brown, Whitney H. - City Council Office; Trump, Shaianna L. - PDR

Subject: Letter of (Emphatic) Opposition SUP 149810-2024

Date: Saturday, March 22, 2025 12:40:00 PM

Some people who received this message don't often get email from chipstevens.rva@gmail.com. Learn why this is

important

CAUTION: This message is from an external sender - Do not open attachments or click links unless you recognize the sender's address and know the content is safe.

Re: SUP 149810-2024

I am opposed to the granting of a special use permit for construction at 3801 and 3803 Hanover Ave.

Chip Stevens 405 N. Hamilton Street, Unit B Richmond, Va 23221 From: <u>Tyler Worley</u>

To: Brown, Whitney H. - City Council Office; Breton, Andrew S. - City Council; Trump, Shaianna L. - PDR

Subject: SUP 149810-2024

Date: Saturday, March 22, 2025 2:16:43 PM

Some people who received this message don't often get email from tyler.worley@gmail.com. Learn why this is

important

CAUTION: This message is from an external sender - Do not open attachments or click links unless you recognize the sender's address and know the content is safe.

hello,

My name is Tyler Worley and I live at 403 N Hamilton St Apt K and I am opposed to the granting of a special use permit for construction at 3801 and 3803 Hanover Avenue.

Thank you.

--

Tyler Worley

From: <u>elizabeth thurston</u>
To: <u>Trump, Shaianna L. - PDR</u>

Subject: I live at 703a North Hamilton, Richmond VA 23221

Date: Saturday, March 22, 2025 5:58:27 PM

[You don't often get email from libbytt944@gmail.com. Learn why this is important at https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification]

CAUTION: This message is from an external sender - Do not open attachments or click links unless you recognize the sender's address and know the content is safe.

I am opposed to SUP 149810-2024 Sent from my iPhone

From: <u>Joanne Baker</u>

 To:
 Trump, Shaianna L. - PDR

 Subject:
 SUP 149810-2024

Date: Sunday, March 23, 2025 4:02:10 PM

You don't often get email from jhbakerus@yahoo.com. Learn why this is important

CAUTION: This message is from an external sender - Do not open attachments or click links unless you recognize the sender's address and know the content is safe.

I am opposed to granting of a special use permit for construction at 3801 and 3803 Hanover Avenue.

Joanne H Baker 301 B North Hamilton Street Richmond Va 23221

Phone: 804 353 1428

From: Lyons Hardy

To: Breton, Andrew S. - City Council; Brown, Whitney H. - City Council Office; Trump, Shaianna L. - PDR

Subject: SUP 149810-2024

Date: Monday, March 24, 2025 7:22:13 PM

Some people who received this message don't often get email from lyonshardy@gmail.com. Learn why this is

important

CAUTION: This message is from an external sender - Do not open attachments or click links unless you recognize the sender's address and know the content is safe.

Hello, I'm a resident and homeowner at 407 N Hamilton St. I would like to let you know that I am NOT opposed to the development plans at 3801 and 3803 Hanover Ave. I understand that many neighbors in the area have been mounting a campaign against this project, and I want to present another perspective that I feel neutral on the topic and would not be opposed to the SUP.

My preference would be that whatever is built there be required to match the architectural style of the neighborhood. I also find it very important that new development is offset from the street and sidewalk and provides adequate parking for its residents. The net construction at the corner of N Hamilton and Grove Ave does not match the architectural style of the area and the building is too close to the sidewalk and road, in my opinion. The parking area is quite limited in size as well. Those issues are problematic and could have been addressed with more stringent requirements for the developer. Thank you for your time.

Lyons Hardy

Pronouns: she or they

From: <u>Samuel Starkey</u>

To: <u>Breton, Andrew S. - City Council; Brown, Whitney H. - City Council Office; Trump, Shaianna L. - PDR</u>

Subject: SUP 149810-2024

Date: Tuesday, March 25, 2025 9:09:34 AM

Some people who received this message don't often get email from samstarkey1982@gmail.com. Learn why this is

<u>important</u>

CAUTION: This message is from an external sender - Do not open attachments or click links unless you recognize the sender's address and know the content is safe.

I am opposed to the granting of a special use permit for construction at 3801 and 3803 Hanover Ave.

Thanks Sam Starkey 401 North Hamilton Street Unit L. Richmond VA 23221 From: <u>Natalie Herring</u>

To: andrew.brenton@rva.gov; Brown, Whitney H. - City Council Office; Trump, Shaianna L. - PDR

Subject: SUP 149810-2024

Date: Tuesday, March 25, 2025 10:56:39 AM

Some people who received this message don't often get email from natalieherring@gmail.com. <u>Learn why this is</u>

important

CAUTION: This message is from an external sender - Do not open attachments or click links unless you recognize the sender's address and know the content is safe.

Dear all,

I'm currently a resident at 3904 Kensington Ave in Richmond and I understand that we have a housing shortage in the US causing a need to build new housing in the Richmond area. We have recently seen a surge of new builds including luxury townhomes and apartments in my neighborhood; these are continuing to sit vacant either unrented or unsold. I am strongly opposed to converting more of our neighborhood into vacant, high density housing and wanted to write to you to express my concerns and opposition to granting a special use permit for construction at 3801 and 3803 Hanover Ave.

Thank you, Natalie From: <u>Drury Wellford</u>

 To:
 Trump, Shaianna L. - PDR

 Subject:
 SUP 149810-2024

Date: Tuesday, March 25, 2025 11:46:32 AM

You don't often get email from drurywellford@gmail.com. Learn why this is important

CAUTION: This message is from an external sender - Do not open attachments or click links unless you recognize the sender's address and know the content is safe.

Ms. Trump,

I oppose granting a Special-Use permit for constructing more densified housing at 3801 and 3803 Hanover Avenue.

Thank you.

__

Ann Drury Wellford 409 N. Hamilton St., Apt. L Richmond, VA 23221 804-334-7502 From: Chrissie Griffith

To: <u>Trump, Shaianna L. - PDR</u>

Subject: SUP149810-2024

Date: Monday, March 24, 2025 4:39:27 PM

[You don't often get email from chrissie.griffith@gmail.com. Learn why this is important at https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification]

CAUTION: This message is from an external sender - Do not open attachments or click links unless you recognize the sender's address and know the content is safe.

Greetings Ms. Trump,

I hope the spring weather finds you well! I am writing today to oppose the granting of a special use permit for construction at 3801 and 3803 Hanover Avenue. These housing units that sprout up on every available lot are poorly constructed, they don't provide parking for the residents, and are not affordably priced. They eliminate green space in the neighborhood, and the architecture, if I shall be so generous with the term, is starkly out of harmony with the aesthetic of the rest of the area.

I have lived in the Fan and Museum district for over half my life and walking around these neighborhoods is a treasure to me. Thanks for taking the time to consider my position on this topic.

Best.

Chrissie Lozano 3712 Grove Ave. Richmond, VA 23221 From: <u>Elizabeth Bray</u>

To: <u>Trump, Shaianna L. - PDR</u>

Subject: Opposition to special use permit SUP 149810-2024

Date: Tuesday, March 25, 2025 6:20:25 PM

[You don't often get email from elizabethcbray9@icloud.com. Learn why this is important at https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification]

CAUTION: This message is from an external sender - Do not open attachments or click links unless you recognize the sender's address and know the content is safe.

- > As a resident of Mount Vernon Condominiums. I vehemently oppose granting a special use permit for construction at 3801 and 3803 Hanover Avenue. Respectfully,
- > Elizabeth Bray
- > 509 N. Hamilton Street
- > Apt. L
- > Richmond, VA 23221
- > (804) 426-4788.
- > Thank you for your consideration.
- > Sent from my iPhone

From: Susan Taylor

To: Breton, Andrew S. - City Council; Trump, Shaianna L. - PDR; Brown, Whitney H. - City Council Office

Date: Tuesday, April 1, 2025 3:19:30 PM

Some people who received this message don't often get email from edgewood472@gmail.com. <u>Learn why this is</u>

<u>important</u>

CAUTION: This message is from an external sender - Do not open attachments or click links unless you recognize the sender's address and know the content is safe.

I am opposed to the granting of a Special Use permit for construction at 3801 and 3803

Hanover Avenue.

Subject : SUP149810-2024

Susan Taylor

507 N Hamilton St, Richmond, VA 23221

From: <u>Austin Grande</u>

To: <u>Trump, Shaianna L. - PDR</u>

Cc: Brown, Whitney H. - City Council Office

Subject: Opposition of SUP 149810-2024 and 3923 GROVE AVE SUP

Date: Tuesday, April 1, 2025 9:02:19 PM

Some people who received this message don't often get email from austingrandevt@gmail.com. <u>Learn why this is important</u>

CAUTION: This message is from an external sender - Do not open attachments or click links unless you recognize the sender's address and know the content is safe.

Hi Shaianna,

I am a resident of 3919 Hanover Ave, Richmond, VA, and I want to express my strong opposition to the approval of SUP 149810-2024 and 3923 GROVE AVE SUP.

At recent community meetings with the developers to address both SUPs, the overwhelming consensus among neighbors was clear—these SUPs, along with several others under consideration, are not in the best interest of our community. The room was packed with residents who are deeply invested in preserving the character and livability of our neighborhood, urging for their rejection.

My wife and I recently spent 3.5 years searching for a home in this area because we fell in love with its historic charm—single-family homes, yards for children to play in, mature trees, and a strong sense of community. This neighborhood is distinct from the Fan and Museum District, and it should remain that way.

We are not opposed to responsible development. For example, Malvern Manor and Kent Road Village apartments complement the area well, adhering to reasonable height limitations, providing adequate parking, limiting the number of apartments, and maintaining green space. However, the recent wave of SUP approvals, including the townhomes already built next to the proposed SUPs, is eroding the neighborhood's integrity and packing way too many townhomes into the space. These developments violate multiple zoning codes, exacerbate existing sewage and parking issues, and, frankly, are hideous. They clash entirely with the historic aesthetic of our community.

The Grove on Hamilton townhomes—approved under a prior SUP and located next to this proposed development—are a prime example of why this is a mistake. After more than a year, only two of the six townhomes have sold. This clearly demonstrates a lack of demand for these types of developments in our neighborhood.

When speaking with neighbors throughout the Colonial Place, Malvern Gardens, Libbie, Willow Lawn etc. areas, the overwhelming sentiment is that this wave of development is out of control, and residents feel unheard. Many in our community are busy raising families and managing the demands of daily life, making it difficult to attend countless meetings—meetings that, unfortunately, often feel futile due to the lack of meaningful response to our concerns. This should not be an excuse to push through developments that disregard the character and needs of the neighborhood.

I urge you to consider the overwhelming opposition from residents and reject SUP 149810-2024 and 3923 GROVE AVE SUP to preserve the character and sustainability of our community.

Thank you,

Austin Grande

From: Patty Smith

To: Brown, Whitney H. - City Council Office; Andrew.Brenton@rva.gov; Trump, Shaianna L. - PDR

Subject: SUP149810-2024

Date: Saturday, April 5, 2025 7:27:52 AM

Some people who received this message don't often get email from pasmithroxx@gmail.com. <u>Learn why this is</u>

CAUTION: This message is from an external sender - Do not open attachments or click links unless you recognize the sender's address and know the content is safe.

My name is Patty Smith and I live at 3800 Hanover Ave, Richmond, VA 23221. We have lived in this neighborhood for 25 years. Our grandparents lived here from 1968 till 1998. There iso room for additional parking, we already have to park our third vehicle on Reville. We certainly do not want to look out front to 3 story townhomes. The property owners of 3801 & 3803 already do not take care of their properties. I can be reached at 804-338-2806. Thank You

Residents Opposed to Proposed Townhomes in Backyards of 3801 and 3803 Hanover Avenue

- Such development, contrary to the 300 Plan adopted by City Council, would destroy valuable tree canopy and existing green space.
- Greater density with no provision for offstreet parking creates additional stress on already limited neighborhood parking (existing multifamily projects in the immediate area ALL provide offstreet parking).
- Contrary to the 300 Plan, the proposal includes a parcel that does not front on either Grove or Hamilton, inviting future developers to acquire contiguous parcels for future redevelopment.
- Third floor balconies violate the privacy of surrounding neighbors.
- Proposed design uses lower grade materials incompatible with the fabric of historic neighborhood.

From: Melissa Houston

To: Breton, Andrew S. - City Council; Brown, Whitney H. - City Council Office; Trump, Shaianna L. - PDR

Subject: SUP149810-2024

Date: Tuesday, April 8, 2025 10:11:02 PM

You don't often get email from mhouston@st.catherines.org. Learn why this is important

CAUTION: This message is from an external sender - Do not open attachments or click links unless you recognize the sender's address and know the content is safe.

My name is Melissa Houston, and I live at Mount Vernon Condominiums at 505-H N Hamilton St, Richmond, VA 23221

I am opposed to the granting of a Special Use permit for construction at 3801 and 3803 Hanover Avenue. We need to preserve our neighborhoods!

Melissa W. Houston M.Ed, Ed.S

Lower School Academic Services Teacher
Reading and Math Specialist

St. Catherine's School
804.288.2804
804.310.8840 (cell)
mhouston@st.catherines.org



This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and may contain privileged information. It is intended solely for the use of the individual(s) addressed. The information should not be further disseminated, distributed or copied without permission from the sender. Please notify the sender immediately by email if you have received this email by mistake and delete this email from your system.

From: <u>Deborah Lewis</u>

Trump, Shaianna L. - PDR

Subject: Oppose

Date: Sunday, April 20, 2025 8:44:04 AM

You don't often get email from debwlew@gmail.com. Learn why this is important

CAUTION: This message is from an external sender - Do not open attachments or click links unless you recognize the sender's address and know the content is safe.

I am against the Special Use permit for construction at 3801 and 3803 Hanover Ave. 149810-2024 I am Deborah Lewis 400 Hanover Ave Richmond Va 23221 Thank you.

From: <u>Sarah Campbell</u>

To: Breton, Andrew S. - City Council; Brown, Whitney H. - City Council Office; Trump, Shaianna L. - PDR

Subject: Sup 149810~2024

Date: Saturday, April 5, 2025 2:03:59 PM

Some people who received this message don't often get email from sarahcamp6@gmail.com. Learn why this is

<u>important</u>

CAUTION: This message is from an external sender - Do not open attachments or click links unless you recognize the sender's address and know the content is safe.

I am opposed to the granting of a special use permit for construction at 3801 and 3803 Hanover Avenue. My name is Sarah Campbell. My address is 505 North Hamilton Street apartment F.

Thank you

From: Helen Ilnicky

Trump, Shaianna L. - PDR

Cc: "Scott Ilnicky"

Subject: SUP application for 3801-3803 Hanover Avenue Date: Wednesday, July 17, 2024 12:55:51 PM

CAUTION: This message is from an external sender - Do not open attachments or click links unless you

recognize the sender's address and know the content is safe.

Hello Shaianna,

I am writing to vehemently oppose the SUP request for the parcels at 3801 and 3803 Hanover Avenue. My husband Scott and I reside at 3902 Hanover, raised three children here, and have been in our home for over 30 years.

The high density of housing proposed is not at all in keeping with the neighborhood west of Hamilton St – an area of single-family detached homes, mature trees and green spaces. And no driveways. Neighbors in this area park on the street and therefore, density of that magnitude, with NO provision for parking and NO alleyway for trash has NO place being built here. The garbage cans (at least 16 of them between garbage and recycling) will have to go in the street. The large influx of cars will permanently take away parking from current residents, many of whom are older and have lived here for many decades and have enjoyed the ability to park on the block on front of their homes, which should be a given in a neighborhood like this.

One need look no further than the parcel next door to this proposed development (which was formally 3800 Grove) to see where this neighborhood is already being negatively impacted by increased density. The former brick rancher at 3800 Grove Ave was demolished well over two years ago, and the vacant, overgrown lot was an eyesore until now. The six unwelcome, high-density, lower-quality townhomes are finally being built on this lot, but after two years of marketing, only 2 of the 6 are sold, according to the website. Among other things, this situation tells me there is certainly no need for 8 more of these cheaply-built townhomes on the same block. Our entire area of nearby neighbors tried to fight this 3800 Grove Ave development but, given that we were never notified about the zoning change, it was a lost cause by the time we were made aware of it.

My chief concern here, though, is the precedent being set. We were concerned with this exact precedent being set by the over-development of the 3800 Grove Ave parcel. But this new proposal for 3801 and 3803 Hanover takes things a huge step further – allowing the owner to subdivide each small lot and have 5 homes where there is currently only one. **To essentially erect 4 new homes in the backyard of EACH address.** The subterfuge and greed exhibited by this request for a SUP is, quite frankly, stunning to me. This proposal is NOT intended to elevate the quality, attractiveness, and livability of the current neighborhood, but rather to make a quick buck for the owner/developer, by cramming an alarming number of homes into a small space.

And with the lots at 3801 and 3803 Hanover Ave each being **less than .2 acres**, this huge density can't possibly be in keeping with the Richmond 300 Plan. According to page 72 of the Plan, a density of 2-10 units per acre is allowed – therefore, the density proposed at 3801 and 3803 Hanover would **each be over DOUBLE the HIGHEST recommended density**. Why would this be allowed?

And what is to keep this from continuing, house after house, block after block until this beautiful established 100-year-old neighborhood is a concrete jungle overpopulated with flimsy townhomes that won't last, nowhere to park, and not a tree or blade of grass in sight? And more specifically, what is to keep this new owner of 3801 and 3803 Hanover from ultimately tearing down the existing small brick homes that front Hanover Ave and putting 8 more similar quality townhomes there, increasing the already overblown density even more?

Please see fit to STOP this SUP application, so that we are not faced with more and more developers seeking to completely change the fabric of this neighborhood with an unsightly and unsustainable level of density and over-growth. To paraphrase my neighbor Bo Bowden in a letter he wrote to our councilperson 'there has to be a way to allow for responsible growth, without allowing property owners a permit to subdivide their backyard.' The overbuilding in this neighborhood is doing only one thing: lining the pockets of developers. It is clearly not "needed" as judging by the slow sales of the townhome development next door.

Issuing high density SUPs to everyone who asks will eventually create an unrecognizable "near west end" of Richmond. And the very things that drew people to this area – the charm, the quality of construction, the older well-built homes, the natural landscape and mature foliage – will cease to exist.

I hope there will be a public forum for area residents to have a voice before this SUP application travels much further along the pipeline. The current residents should have a say in what happens here, as this is becoming an increasingly frequent problem.

Thank you, Helen Ilnicky

Helen M. Ilnicky Owner and Chief Financial Officer Haberdasher Corporate Apparel P: 804-955-9470

F: 804-658-3458

helen@haberdashercorporateapparel.com



From: Aelise Noonan

To: PDR Land Use Admin; Trump, Shaianna L. - PDR; Mayor Levar Stoney; Jordan, Katherine - City Council; Lambert,

Ann-Frances - City Council; Nye, Kristen M. - City Council; Lynch, Stephanie A. - City Council Office; Robertson, Ellen F. - City Council; Newbille, Cynthia I. - City Council; Trammell, Reva M. - City Council; Jones, Nicole - City

Council Office; Addison, Andreas D. - City Council

Subject: SUP for 3801 and 3803 Hanover Avenue **Date:** Wednesday, July 17, 2024 1:01:02 PM

Attachments: Scott Ilnicky.docx scan0017.pdf

CAUTION: This message is from an external sender - Do not open attachments or click links unless you recognize the sender's address and know the content is safe.

This e-mail is in reference to the SUP proposal for the above-mentioned addresses on Hanover Avenue. As a member of this block for 65 years, having moved here with my family in 1959 and then purchasing my personal home 38 years ago (3909 Hanover Avenue), I want to express my extreme opposition to the request to build eight three story homes on the property stated. This idyllic neighborhood has been single-family zoned for years until 2020 when developers bought the cape cod home at 3800- Grove Avenue and received approval to put six three story condos on the property. I never imagined that this area would begin to look like Short Pump, Scotts Addition or other areas in Richmond where every piece of available green space is being allowed to develop for apartments or condos. Now we have a new request for the eight condos to basically be built in the backyard of two existing single family homes.

As many of my neighbors have already expressed their concerns with this proposal, I too would like to join them with the concerns they mentioned: (see attached e-mail)

```
<!--[if !supportLists]-->1. <!--[endif]-->Impact on Quality of Life
```

<!--[if !supportLists]-->2. <!--[endif]-->Diminished neighborhood character

<!--[if !supportLists]-->3. <!--[endif]-->Erosion of property value

<!--[if !supportLists]-->4. <!--[endif]-->Disruption of daily life

<!--[if !supportLists]-->5. <!--[endif]-->Lack of housing need in this area

<!--[if !supportLists]-->6. <!--[endif]-->And the most important one, setting a precedent for more SUP's to follow.

Note: see attached e-mail from Scott Ilnicky which expands on the above issues.

In addition, I would like to add my concern about additional traffic on what is a quiet Street (Hanover Ave.) as well as adding congestion on a very narrow street (Reveille Ave.) Parking will surely be a problem since it appears there is not much parking afforded in the proposal. Neighbors have heard that there are rumors that developers are waiting on this SUP approval so they can move forward with similar plans on Grove Avenue. One of the oldest homes at 3923 Grove Avenue has recently been sold with plans to build condos/apartments on that site.

Having lived here for most of my life I'm truly disturbed that our City would allow this to occur in an established neighborhood. As my fellow neighbor has said, please do the right thing and deny the request for this SUP.

Please see attached e-mails that expand on the neighbors' concerns. Thank you in advance for listening to our concerns and taking them into consideration to make the right decision.

P.S. Please also find attached the letter Baker Development Resources left at only one of our neighbor's home which alerted the neighbors as to what was going on. No one else on the block ever received any communication regarding the SUP.

Total 292 +Bills 1433

Residents Opposed to Proposed Townhomes in Backyards of 3801 and 3803 Hanover Avenue

- Such development, contrary to the 300 Plan adopted by City Council, would destroy valuable tree canopy and existing green space.
- Greater density with no provision for offstreet parking creates additional stress on already limited neighborhood parking (existing multifamily projects in the immediate area ALL provide offstreet parking).
- Contrary to the 300 Plan, the proposal includes a parcel that does not front on either Grove or Hamilton, inviting future developers to acquire contiguous parcels for future redevelopment.
- Third floor balconies violate the privacy of surrounding neighbors.
- Proposed design uses lower grade materials incompatible with the fabric of historic neighborhood.

Name (please print) Signature Address	Email/phone (optional)
JO LEE KENNEY, go her Kenne	
Deborah Wleuis (del-) 4	EDO Hanoung Cin
MARSHALL INEATHERSOIE MANS	hall what I 4 Ope, Hangus AVE
Kim Cooke Kim Cooky 40	de Handle Ave
Alcholas ByRD Juchola My 1 40	100 Porh AUB
Coll Songer I I all 4	10 Harover Ave
Reid Pierce Adal 4	OI MALUEMA AVE
Meagan Lemon Unestanterity 4104	Hanover Ave
TOUR MERCURY USI	W3314 23223
Willia Sten Wissome WS	Umin 819W 47hst 23225
Stave MAZai 65	E 32 NO ST 23224
Dana Marshall 1 xoun Mas hall	2022 Hanuver Am. 23220 (540)273-055
Scott Morrison / wolf home	- 3107 Stuart Ave, 23224
11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	SII N GRACE
Victoria Kicka aff 3800 Stu	
	wt Ave, 23221
	908 STUART AVE , 23221
HAN HolzGrefe ann Holgrefe	3905 Storat Ave, 23221
Wayne Hotella Was total In	3907 Stuart Ave 23221
matt Ragano muita Pipe 3	916 Stuart Ale unit), 73221
	3917 Straf Aug, 23221
7/ I, TRAMONTIN, 3 Translin	3919 Stuard Owe 23221-
Many Faten Gill all a	3916 STUDYT AVE 23271
Ation Gill whighow	22 5 Arthur Ashe 2300
Marcia Force Manuatina	4312 Hanover Are 23221

- Such development, contrary to the 300 Plan adopted by City Council, would destroy valuable tree canopy and existing green space.
- Greater density with no provision for offstreet parking creates additional stress on already limited neighborhood parking (existing multifamily projects in the immediate area ALL provide offstreet parking).
- Contrary to the 300 Plan, the proposal includes a parcel that does not front on either Grove or Hamilton, inviting future developers to acquire contiguous parcels for future redevelopment.
- Third floor balconies violate the privacy of surrounding neighbors.
- Proposed design uses lower grade materials incompatible with the fabric of historic neighborhood.

Name (please print) Signature	Address	Idress Email/phone (optional)		
MELISSA Hughes Yelyn 4.1/4 Jay Hughes Pers	2801 Toma	one ed Richman A23ici minimenorano gmailion 7/64807313		
Margaret Brock margaret & 18 Fronk Eden forder Goldand Brian Forder 1801	706 E 207 GV	n Club Rd Richmond VA even darrellogmail. WA		
Kelsey Alas Taylor Alas	20 / 0	Sun (luk Rd Pichmand VA 23221 Sulgrave Fed Richmand VA 23221 347260171 Serkshipe Rd Richmand 23221 571, Xford Circle W Richmand 23221 871,		
Copy Discond July for Brian are Tracane DM	Q 615,	LYCAUTHUS Rd Richmond VA 23221 Ly Cauthus Rd Richmond VA 23221		
Andrew Iracane alma Kristina Lee Khusuna	Loe 213 ee 2130	QUEEN Charlotte Rd. RICHMUND (17 2322) Rusen Charlotte Rd. RICHMUND (17 2322)		
There is formed at	4100	CARY STREET Please 23226		
	1			



- Such development, contrary to the 300 Plan adopted by City Council, would destroy valuable tree canopy and existing green space.
- Greater density with no provision for offstreet parking creates additional stress on already limited neighborhood parking (existing multifamily projects in the immediate area ALL provide offstreet parking).
- Contrary to the 300 Plan, the proposal includes a parcel that does not front on either Grove or Hamilton, inviting future developers to acquire contiguous parcels for future redevelopment.
- Third floor balconies violate the privacy of surrounding neighbors.
- Proposed design uses lower grade materials incompatible with the fabric of historic neighborhood.

Name (please print) Signature Address Stephen Russell Studt Ave	Email/phone (optional) Sruss) () vt , edu
Trever Bragdon To 300 3803 Street Ave	
Leah Schwartz JK Adup 3801 Sturt Ave	trevorbragder @ Smail.com
Sarah Braydon July du 3803 Strant Ave	sarah a aman health com
Sugan Scherrman Jul 3802 Hanover	
GIR SHOCKLET JUN Storly 3806 STUNE AN	Susteris Kerring and
Jude Maghinene Julla 3901 Sturt A	
VOUN ANTICHT BONG 3604 11 V	<i>X</i>
	+1000 11560 (il
Ashley Rotella ashlup. Roula 3907 Stuart Ave.	of Ave. Freith afficts a 13 14
C. I A II	rotella. ashley@ amail.com
Thawn Crumlish Shaws . Crumlist 4007 Hanover A	
Rimber Cramlish K. Grunlin 4007 Hanover	rve.
Kelly Twk Suly IL 3913 Hana	r Ave (80+) 740-3945
Shannon macey & A 4103 HA nover	Ave.
· Harold J. Williams gama will Honover	Are 804-955-8838
Suzanne Y Williams, 4111 House	
Petrick Sadie Mason 4116 Gran Au	
Abbit Sadler about 8. Sall Alle Brove A	111 801 2.00
Roset Sevend Al Commy 4311 Harrow A	
Tara Seword The feet 4311 Hange	1
John K Austri War 1819 Flood An	
Gail F Austin Bul austr 2819 Flord A	
D. Villadi I. I.	e gaustin 100 agner, con
1 1/2-1	2
Starky D. Crong Malle 4310 Hanour	SERATHAYEG may tour



- Such development, contrary to the 300 Plan adopted by City Council, would destroy valuable tree canopy and existing green space.
- Greater density with no provision for offstreet parking creates additional stress on already limited neighborhood parking (existing multifamily projects in the immediate area ALL provide offstreet parking).
- Contrary to the 300 Plan, the proposal includes a parcel that does not front on either Grove or Hamilton, inviting future developers to acquire contiguous parcels for future redevelopment.
- Third floor balconies violate the privacy of surrounding neighbors.
- Proposed design uses lower grade materials incompatible with the fabric of historic neighborhood.

Name (please print) Signatu		Email/phope (optional)
ANNA EIDELMAN	49 309 N. HAMICTO	N St. Verne Codeleman
Helen Ilvicka	3007 House	tot of general
GIR. SHOCKLEY	3806 STHUNT	won fathly
	3000	and fundamental



- Such development, contrary to the 300 Plan adopted by City Council, would destroy valuable tree canopy and existing green space.
- Greater density with no provision for offstreet parking creates additional stress on already limited neighborhood parking (existing multifamily projects in the immediate area ALL provide offstreet parking).
- Contrary to the 300 Plan, the proposal includes a parcel that does not front on either Grove or Hamilton, inviting future developers to acquire contiguous parcels for future redevelopment.
- Third floor balconies violate the privacy of surrounding neighbors.
- Proposed design uses lower grade materials incompatible with the fabric of historic neighborhood.

Name (please print)	Signature	Address	mass St 2	Email/phon	e (optional)
Errol Soman	2085	705 N.Th	ompson St	23221 64	e (optional) Jiusgirl@yahoo.co rainogmail.com
					-



- Such development, contrary to the 300 Plan adopted by City Council, would destroy
 valuable tree canopy and existing green space.
- Greater density with no provision for offstreet parking creates additional stress on already limited neighborhood parking (existing multifamily projects in the immediate area ALL provide offstreet parking).
- Contrary to the 300 Plan, the proposal includes a parcel that does not front on either Grove or Hamilton, inviting future developers to acquire contiguous parcels for future redevelopment.
- Third floor balconies violate the privacy of surrounding neighbors.
- Proposed design uses lower grade materials incompatible with the fabric of historic neighborhood.

Name (please print) Signature	Address	Email/phone (optional)	
· Karen Zechini E. Kaven Zerhini	_ 303-G N. Hamilton St.	Kzechini @ gmail.com	
Michael Richard Mar	3700 Grove Ave	Trace IIII & Giller Carl	
Natasha Herbert N. A.	3706 Grove Ave.	Kerberna agnail com	
ERIN STRYKER GMESTAS	3908 GROVE AVG	San Corrier Corri	
KEN MARTIA IGHT MANT	3710 GROVE AVE		
DOUAN BOOKER TO A GIMON	3716 GROVE AVE	JohnBooker	
DOLNKE BAKER Joonne	Deker 301 B N. HAMILT		h 42
Robbi Benson Zalizar		1	11 san
Thurst Song Love	2631714	Milcelux 444	
Berbers Greenlest	Barbara Green	lia 301-F, 71	YAhDD
Iron: Ganzalez	9-2	13014020	C ma
Pierce Hickman	301 N Hampfort	hickmanpierceag	mailicon
Paul B. Day, JR.	Papel B. Baker &	301-L H. Hemilton St	, con
Sara Coxon	Lava & Off	303-BN Hamili	toust#B
MARK YEATTES Link Yeather	303 Worth Hamilton St. Unit F	yeatharmue ucujedu	
Takethi main 7 mg	303 N. Hamilton St Syt E	Timajo 74 D Holmand	302
VIlley Quille VILLA	303 W. Hamilton St Apt 5	anathracteologinality or	atr
	305 N. Hamilton St. Unitk.	guinnka 79 (@gmail. co	m
SURAD MARTIN SUR	305 N. Hamilton Unit A	Scienac 7150 44hod Co	271
Acc C	OSD Ham Henst. Apt C	banka respot ogmal -co	7
TOSO AND I proy Metros	JOSN HANST HOTH	DELLOA DORD OMA,	2Com
Mighael Dinstan	401-12 N Hamilton	9	
THEORY PACIFIE	10(-th 10		

- Such development, contrary to the 300 Plan adopted by City Council, would destroy valuable tree canopy and existing green space.
- Greater density with no provision for offstreet parking creates additional stress on already limited neighborhood parking (existing multifamily projects in the immediate area ALL provide offstreet parking).
- Contrary to the 300 Plan, the proposal includes a parcel that does not front on either Grove or Hamilton, inviting future developers to acquire contiguous parcels for future redevelopment.
- Third floor balconies violate the privacy of surrounding neighbors.
- Proposed design uses lower grade materials incompatible with the fabric of historic neighborhood.

Name (please print) Signature Address Email/phone (optional)
merediah Hughes Mynighes 303 N. Hamilton H RVA 23221 703-899-691
TION MCHOK and SDIB Herlitton a 98-642018
Chris Ranhum III 500 N Waning St J. Nuce 23221.
Henry Dans Hyales 505 N Hanston St 6 505
Bobbil Helme 509 N. Hemilton St ARC C
Sally Hoisenstab Sellethanstal 509 NHamiton #3
Suzeme Haserstan WSHeanstal 509 N Hamilton #5
Juli Newton John 403DN. Hamlton pronton agmil com
Payton Fitchett in 701-CN Hamlton
Kate Man Kats 703-CN Hamilton
Paul Vitsky Puldons 3014 N Hamilton SV
melissa Farmer nuch 3705 patterson Ave
Hannah Julia Laurie 3713 Parterson Ave Hund Lunia
Jace Gregory 3721 Patterson Au
Admanna Hardy 703 N Hamiltonst Auth As Italy
Charlete Dethan 701 N Hamilin unit E hand
Flope Rowand appellant 701 NHamiltonst I
Susan Coggsdalc Syn Kloyeun 509-FN. Hunter
Katharine Firer Fatelines, 507AN. Hamilton
Kanty France Lynn Gos D. Hayner Kol " Ken
Dill Pegesty II kopy 1989 N Hamilton J
Sarah Cambell Darah Constitution St. F
MICHAEL HAWKY CHOST.



- Such development, contrary to the 300 Plan adopted by City Council, would destroy
 valuable tree canopy and existing green space.
- Greater density with no provision for offstreet parking creates additional stress on already limited neighborhood parking (existing multifamily projects in the immediate area ALL provide offstreet parking).
- Contrary to the 300 Plan, the proposal includes a parcel that does not front on either Grove or Hamilton, inviting future developers to acquire contiguous parcels for future redevelopment.
- Third floor balconies violate the privacy of surrounding neighbors.
- Proposed design uses lower grade materials incompatible with the fabric of historic neighborhood.

Name (please print) Signature Address Email/phone (optional)
De College Col
SHEP PARSONS LAw Harrite 237017 PARSONS LAW Harriton St.
Elizabeth Terry Cong 34/11 Fatherson El trag 37/11 Patterson
THIMPS P. BOLLINS 3769 PATIERS ON AIR YELL P. C. L.
AT ME Andrew 303 N. Hamilton All A 13 900
Phy toller 1 2000 10. Hamilton 1 Milliand, UA 330001
Allison Williams allus Williams 701 of Notamyton 2221
PHTIY Beckstother 10/00. Hamittan St Unit Thatinh Bull 23121
Tred Dittor Ent Hand Join Bonk ton untit
Kaven Anagers for 2000 3 409 N Hamton St. *C
Nicole Cametas The Common Report of the Common Repo
Cornie Laneaster Compt pagent 407-1 D. Hamilton
Mile & treene SAMAN YORK NHAMITING
Melody & Smith Marieto SI
MALLA KING PRANT CATE LIVE HOSE A Handton SI
Type Private Agent 1 /1 69h 4R NH with the Les 47
San Stacker MIN 19401 N Han Han ST W. +1
SERPHET. CHEMO JANT. CYN / 1017 Y/ Han 1/51, 401-F
Elizabeth Greenspan Jan 401-A Ni Hamitton St



- Such development, contrary to the 300 Plan adopted by City Council, would destroy valuable tree canopy and existing green space.
- Greater density with no provision for offstreet parking creates additional stress on already limited neighborhood parking (existing multifamily projects in the immediate area ALL provide offstreet parking).
- Contrary to the 300 Plan, the proposal includes a parcel that does not front on either Grove or Hamilton, inviting future developers to acquire contiguous parcels for future redevelopment.
- Third floor balconies violate the privacy of surrounding neighbors.
- Proposed design uses lower grade materials incompatible with the fabric of historic neighborhood.

Name (please print) Signature Address Email/phone (optional)
All CE Ann Bakelile Orles Cr. Gulgger SOTAL Hawiton St. Unit I
EVALUE MORE CLE SUSAN IN SULPA SOT-F IN HAMILTON ST EDGE WOOD FTS
Signature of the state of the s
Flood S Strate & S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S
Mary Awa Duncan Warry Alaska 5070 Hamitton St 2322
(Wes lotte McAdes (NO HIMSONDE FOR at Florida 18
Reberca Micray Pullian 509 N. Hamilton St. Matt B
Ned farley Mitchell 509 N. Hamilton St. Voit I
Constance Whiteman Contant whiteman 500 H Houseton St.
The share Elizabeth Thurston 703A Hamilton St.
Pursuant Crous of sail tanistons to # 11 smaking a comil com
Ray of rown Karlan COIB N Hamlonton Kverlahman Co Brancol Cons
Stephen Barlan Stephen Boulon 701 WHamilton St unit D Smb3cj@nrginiared u
Mad Is Chimark Chimark Chimark China
Middle and Morris of the Att
Stephanie American 130 Hamelande
Suelen Gravey Allemanie TOS N. Hamilton Ant F
Chris Nawson Son Holling Holl
1835 Ca Stelad W. Keley V.
Bernold Rilen MAN 507 H. To Hamilton
DAVID WILEELER David Whele 507 4 K. Hamilton Richmond

- Such development, contrary to the 300 Plan adopted by City Council, would destroy
 valuable tree canopy and existing green space.
- Greater density with no provision for offstreet parking creates additional stress on already limited neighborhood parking (existing multifamily projects in the immediate area ALL provide offstreet parking).
- Contrary to the 300 Plan, the proposal includes a parcel that does not front on either Grove or Hamilton, inviting future developers to acquire contiguous parcels for future redevelopment.
- Third floor balconies violate the privacy of surrounding neighbors.
- Proposed design uses lower grade materials incompatible with the fabric of historic neighborhood.

Name (please print) Signature	Address	Email/phone (optional)	
Wel Woller	403 10. Ham How St hot K	- (opsional)	
Total world Jesen was			
RACHEL MCGUINK NEW	- 405 N HAMILTON B		
Ellen Good rich Strart soms	E 407 NHamilton B		
Michael Prado Mallitar	407 N Hemilton F		
Ingreshy City	107 N # C	302.\	
Aug Phan Derns	Stare K9Hholto	m (209-H	
ANNE BLACKWELL	409 N. HAMILTO	N # D Collective	
Hoo Holey ann star	409 W HB	miles a lought	
Hon Hyer ann Ayer	SOLA NOVEN Ha	milton St. 23221	
The same of the sa	6	1- St. 2021	
Examples on The	2 SOLE At Hamilton 5	1 23721	
1111 , 00	DOIN Ham. Ho-	H Apt 4 23271 Oly	1
Shirle & IRka X	20 SOLIN Hamilton		" I
Llark Law's Offails	Kirly July 5032 9	Hemietan,	
BOORNEMS PARION	503 With Stamilton	61-11 6 514	
The state of the s	3 503 N. Hamilton	18r#G 12VA23221	
LANDIE CRAWSORD LO	11/1/11-	1. Hampiton 2322)	
MELISSA W. HOUSTON M.	701-JA	Harutin Shaup 23221	
FREDERIC PLEASANTS, 5M	TUNOUS JOUNT 505	N Hamilton St. Richmond unit H	/
LIKEB. LEAKE 5071	Ollow-ill act #6	67-LN. Hamilton St Richmond, V	A
Madison Ward 509 h	Hamilton St. #1K	projeteake	
1011	Hamilton Stift -	Mal Mint	



- Such development, contrary to the 300 Plan adopted by City Council, would destroy valuable tree canopy and existing green space.
- Greater density with no provision for offstreet parking creates additional stress on already limited neighborhood parking (existing multifamily projects in the immediate area ALL provide offstreet parking).
- Contrary to the 300 Plan, the proposal includes a parcel that does not front on either Grove or Hamilton, inviting future developers to acquire contiguous parcels for future redevelopment.
- Third floor balconies violate the privacy of surrounding neighbors.
- Proposed design uses lower grade materials incompatible with the fabric of historic neighborhood.

Name (please print) Signature Address	Email/phone (optional)
Janette Cayne Mouth Day 365 n- Hom of ton	D Jamon ARIN HENDI COM
STANTON CHEMINO POXIVI SON NHAMILTON ST F	In the Roll Com
Sean Pritchard 303N Hamilton St L	2 3
Bridget Heneghan Broughty 203 N. Hamilton	A
Mande Whileham May 1 E. N. Hamilt	n a
Clie Gober Get 301 N. Hamilton	
Daniel Poliguin And 3712 Grove Ave	23221
Chaise Lotano 7 3712 Grove Ave	732/1
Sharw Barnes No No 305-B HIL	bmilton St
Dean Montcomery Sams Morton 403 NI.	Hamilton St B
Stan Tucker thaty tute 405 11.	Hamilton St. E
Linda Gill Sinda Jul 405 N.	Hamilton St D
Pa+ Lynch 1 12 405 N	Hamilton St
Elizabeth Nakon expeteth Malon 501 N.	Hami Hon ST I
Asseloughran Fr 409 N.	Hamilton St 1
Ann Jewey Wellord Ann Jewey hell bord 41	09 A. Hansitzm St. I
A Lizabeth Cullen Bray 9 0 509-	- L N. Haveilton St 23221
atherine Hallman (alhering Hatline	509-AN Hamilton UA 23221
18 M Muy 2 22 5076	W. HAMILTON
Anne la Cair Out 507 N	Hamilton Unit E
Kelley wellford & m. (would 505)	V. HAMILTON ST UNIT D
COIN HUKE JULY SOS N. H	amilton unit
HAME WALLON UNKe Weedren	505 N Hamilton 3



- Such development, contrary to the 300 Plan adopted by City Council, would destroy
 valuable tree canopy and existing green space.
- Greater density with no provision for offstreet parking creates additional stress on already limited neighborhood parking (existing multifamily projects in the immediate area ALL provide offstreet parking).
- Contrary to the 300 Plan, the proposal includes a parcel that does not front on either Grove or Hamilton, inviting future developers to acquire contiguous parcels for future redevelopment.
- · Third floor balconies violate the privacy of surrounding neighbors.
- Proposed design uses lower grade materials incompatible with the fabric of historic neighborhood.

Name (please print) Signature	Address	Email/phone (optional)
Ben Start Thingself	3527 Grove Ave	
LIPPYSENTZ OFF	3508 Grave AV	2
Tord Sentz Still	3508 Grove	
Sysin Versen And	2 3520 (7vou	CHRISBOPSTE GMAIL. COM
CHRIS BOPST (MANUEL)	3458 GROVE AVE	
the month of the second	SO GOVE NO	PARE + 17: A WARD 2 6 moil on
Brender Admin - Jane Mu	344 600 July	helper Va 1321
Bity Snith sell and 343	6 Grove We Rich VA 23	7-24
	AND DESIGNATION OF THE PARTY OF	322/ V/AM
Ubd CASASNOVAS A		33 GROVE AVE
MIKE CASTSNOVES FOR	MO Course 34	32 Good EAVE.
SMannan Kussell Sha	marghettel 359GRO	NE XVE
Keith Boson persugh Solith	1 0 / 1	rove Ave
Carter hobertson Cali	,	rove AVE
Antrey Mclaniel		54 Grove Ave
1	17740 K. Co Count	2 3 434 GREVE
M For Haven	2 3432 Grave Ave:	planotate & egma, lucom
Means It Horrey Tet	4	MPOVISILS@YAHOO.COM
3	426 Grove Arenge	esery
Harsimon Sain, 24	1 4 1	
Natalie Cintul, 340		= A M
FLOYEL CALLTON 31	423 6 ROUR AUR.	194100



- Such development, contrary to the 300 Plan adopted by City Council, would destroy valuable tree canopy and existing green space.
- Greater density with no provision for offstreet parking creates additional stress on already limited neighborhood parking (existing multifamily projects in the immediate area ALL provide offstreet parking).
- Contrary to the 300 Plan, the proposal includes a parcel that does not front on either Grove or Hamilton, inviting future developers to acquire contiguous parcels for future redevelopment.
- Third floor balconies violate the privacy of surrounding neighbors.
- Proposed design uses lower grade materials incompatible with the fabric of historic neighborhood.

Name (please print) Signature CHARLOTTE VARBER CM Checlyn S. Stevens	PN 1	Email/phone (optional) HAMILTON ST.	NaBalga ca
			_
			_
			_
			_
			_
			_



- Such development, contrary to the 300 Plan adopted by City Council, would destroy valuable tree canopy and existing green space.
- Greater density with no provision for offstreet parking creates additional stress on already limited neighborhood parking (existing multifamily projects in the immediate area ALL provide offstreet parking).
- Contrary to the 300 Plan, the proposal includes a parcel that does not front on either Grove or Hamilton, inviting future developers to acquire contiguous parcels for future redevelopment.
- Third floor balconies violate the privacy of surrounding neighbors.
- Proposed design uses lower grade materials incompatible with the fabric of historic neighborhood.

Name (please print)	Signature Address	3409	Email/phone (optional)
			A CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE OF TH



- Such development, contrary to the 300 Plan adopted by City Council, would destroy valuable tree canopy and existing green space.
- Greater density with no provision for offstreet parking creates additional stress on already limited neighborhood parking (existing multifamily projects in the immediate area ALL provide offstreet parking).
- Contrary to the 300 Plan, the proposal includes a parcel that does not front on either Grove or Hamilton, inviting future developers to acquire contiguous parcels for future redevelopment.
- Third floor balconies violate the privacy of surrounding neighbors.
- Proposed design uses lower grade materials incompatible with the fabric of historic neighborhood.

Name (please print) Signature	Address 4003 Honor Ave-	Email/phone (optional)
Azise Noone 39 Tereold Turne Jureki75	4003 Have Ave. 09 Hanover Are um 3911 Hanover Ave	Decorde & venzonino



- Such development, contrary to the 300 Plan adopted by City Council, would destroy valuable tree canopy and existing green space.
- Greater density with no provision for offstreet parking creates additional stress on already limited neighborhood parking (existing multifamily projects in the immediate area ALL provide offstreet parking).
- Contrary to the 300 Plan, the proposal includes a parcel that does not front on either Grove or Hamilton, inviting future developers to acquire contiguous parcels for future redevelopment.
- Third floor balconies violate the privacy of surrounding neighbors.
- Proposed design uses lower grade materials incompatible with the fabric of historic neighborhood.

> + · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Name (please print) Signature Address Email/phone (optional) Ave Richar 2322) HARY MEADOWS Many of Mulbus 3903 Humover Ave Richar 2322)
MARY MEADOWS May of Meadows 3903 Hamover Ave Richar (322)
The Court Me 130111 - NATION IN THE WAY TO T
- Gla Guilland & Mr. Strongs 200 lle 12/1/2 La color and all
TOSTIN Grande 3919 Hannel Ave
Patricia Smith Stadiograms 3919 Hanarer Ave maddielewisviagminh
1 3800 Henous are PASmith rough (28)
THEREN I, SMITH, STORAL 3800 HANDUS ALKE, RVA CARINITEDINAL ON MAN
1235 1235 1235 1235 1235 1235 1235 1235
2. I want to the state of the s
a solition St. Coardal amail com
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Visco Lacine 16 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
3 10 Leasington the Keastor of the au
2800 Kensynatory Ava to a laboral anna
3801 Vensington Ane danielle transcription
3 0 3 (trispy, pm live tripper h 30)
M H 2 1 (MIN Clark In
Land Rel Mountage & VIII KEW Claster, Man 7676
Day Nyckols J Jan 3904 Kensington Ave 23221 natalieherring agmail co
Alaxandra Nyckols & The Bank Vensing ton Are 23221 dan nuckols & grail on
Mana Calding all stone persons the 2322
1 (E 1) STILL FERT STUBER TO BELL MANY, TO LYGING TIME COM
Adam Jarnowski Jeg 3915 Kershifter Are 28221 magin @ amail
76 11
Calub Jones Colly 3911 Kinsington Are 23221 Elet
Brian Mi Week Goll 3907 Ken Stry to Au 23221 Mon Redy.
Jet to single he was a

MM (2)

Residents Opposed to Proposed Townhomes in Backyards of 3801 and 3803 Hanover Avenue

- Such development, contrary to the 300 Plan adopted by City Council, would destroy valuable tree canopy and existing green space.
- Greater density with no provision for offstreet parking creates additional stress on already limited neighborhood parking (existing multifamily projects in the immediate area ALL provide offstreet parking).
- Contrary to the 300 Plan, the proposal includes a parcel that does not front on either Grove or Hamilton, inviting future developers to acquire contiguous parcels for future redevelopment.
- Third floor balconies violate the privacy of surrounding neighbors.
- Proposed design uses lower grade materials incompatible with the fabric of historic neighborhood.

Name (please print)	Signature Address	Email/phone (optional)
Tharles D. Curley	Charles D. Carly III 3906	
Paul Vitsky	Pay Oty be 301	KN Humitan ST
(02		
The second secon		
		3.5
	Name of the last o	
		,
	<u> </u>	



- Such development, contrary to the 300 Plan adopted by City Council, would destroy valuable tree canopy and existing green space.
- Greater density with no provision for offstreet parking creates additional stress on already limited neighborhood parking (existing multifamily projects in the immediate area ALL provide offstreet parking).
- Contrary to the 300 Plan, the proposal includes a parcel that does not front on either Grove or Hamilton, inviting future developers to acquire contiguous parcels for future redevelopment.
- Third floor balconies violate the privacy of surrounding neighbors.
- Proposed design uses lower grade materials incompatible with the fabric of historic neighborhood.

Name (please print) Signature Address Email/phone (optional)
Cirial/priorie (optional)
The state of the s
XP'SS WILLS I ASSET III COLOR TO THE COLOR
Standard 4213 kingvest Huy 23221
Shannow Shaten My 410 MILingunt VNm 27221
The creat Phy 33221
Logides tople Vilium of 424 Kingcrest Dawy 2322
Hames NI Davis 4215 Kings vert Kerry. RVA 2322
Y white W. At 4214 Kingcrest Packupy 73221
KOBERT BIRDSey MATKERS 4217 Kingcrest PKWY RVA 23221
Chaffraix Lelong 4203 Kingcrest PKY, 23221
MIKE LESS MULLED 4276 Kiracest Phy 73771
EVERETT A. FAIR LAMPS, IT ADIO KINGCREST PLWV RIGHUDIO, VA 22221
Jennifer Miller Evans 4211 Kingcrest Parkway 23221
Mally Fall and AZIN IV's a file D
THEIR THE TAID KINGGREAT PANKWAY RICHMOND, VA 23221



- Such development, contrary to the 300 Plan adopted by City Council, would destroy valuable tree canopy and existing green space.
- Greater density with no provision for offstreet parking creates additional stress on already limited neighborhood parking (existing multifamily projects in the immediate area ALL provide offstreet parking).
- Contrary to the 300 Plan, the proposal includes a parcel that does not front on either Grove or Hamilton, inviting future developers to acquire contiguous parcels for future redevelopment.
- Third floor balconies violate the privacy of surrounding neighbors.
- Proposed design uses lower grade materials incompatible with the fabric of historic neighborhood.

Name (please print) Signature Address Email/phone (optional)
THOMAS T. STEINMETZ MAMAN STERMY 417 GRAVITETAVE PIC SO44GO-4289
Mary Hernte Barke 346 Alberralet Recy mhbartzer egmil in 804 46 52
Bernard J. Bartye 346 Aberhale Are RIC tutbuter 560gmail in 50434 8220
Dema main, 337 Lexington Rd Rich VA Smaucks og mail. Low
Undsey Hargy ove & Dognore 343 Lexington Pd. Richmond VA 23226 Howing
Mmy Peeky by rem 309 Lexington Rd. Etch VA, 23226, amybisase 3nd
Torrey Muntood 5906 York Rd Rimond 23226
Mark Rhoads 4408 S. Ashlawn Dr., Richmond VA 23221
Rebuia Baggion 3915 Homeron Que Richman 1 WA 23721 804 304 244
Ribura Baggoon 3915 Hamorea ale Richmad VA 23221 804 304 7442

- Such development, contrary to the 300 Plan adopted by City Council, would destroy
 valuable tree canopy and existing green space.
- Greater density with no provision for offstreet parking creates additional stress on already limited neighborhood parking (existing multifamily projects in the immediate area ALL provide offstreet parking).
- Contrary to the 300 Plan, the proposal includes a parcel that does not front on either Grove or Hamilton, inviting future developers to acquire contiguous parcels for future redevelopment.
- Third floor balconies violate the privacy of surrounding neighbors.
- Proposed design uses lower grade materials incompatible with the fabric of historic neighborhood.

Name (please print) Signature Address Email/phone (optional)	
W. Clark Williams Clark Williams 5529 Bernsley Terr cwilliam@ richmond, Leighthne Ross Keith Come Ross 3306 Hanover Que. 23221	
	u
William Bosty Wills A Bosts 4310 STUART And 23221	
Hanry William Namer Williams 5529 Barneley Ter noncy is williamagna I com	
Trade 8 1 Zachen 7 1 1730 1730 Made Charleton Ruhman 1 23227	

Name	City	State	Postal Code	Country	Signed On
Aelise Noonan	Richmond	VA	23220	United States	3/18/25
Al Strickler	Richmond	VA	23226	United States	3/19/25
Alexander Siegfried	Richmond	VA	23235	United States	3/28/25
Amanda Rowe	Richmond	VA	23226	United States	3/19/25
Andrea Masters	Richmond	VA	23282	United States	3/21/25
Angi Kane	Richmond	VA	23221	United States	3/20/25
Anne McCracken	Richmond	VA	23220	United States	3/26/25
Anthony Villani	Richmond	VA	23221	United States	3/20/25
Arthur Seidenberg	Richmond	VA	23221	United States	3/19/25
Aubrey McDaniel	Richmond	VA	23221	United States	4/13/25
Barbara Steele	Richmond	VA	23221	United States	3/20/25
Betsy Gardner	Richmond	VA	23226	United States	3/19/25
Bill Kane	Richmond	VA	23221	United States	3/18/25
Breanna Lonas	Richmond	VA	23225	United States	3/25/25
Brenda Whitener	Richmond	VA	23220	United States	3/22/25
Brent Winn	Richmond	VA	23226	United States	3/19/25
Brian Kinnear	Richmond	VA	23221	United States	3/21/25
Britny Forbes	Richmond	VA	23224	United States	3/21/25
Brooks Altizer	Richmond	VA	23221	United States	3/20/25
Bunny Wilks	Richmond	VA	23222	United States	3/19/25
Cameron Law	Richmond	VA	23222	United States	3/28/25
Caryl Burtner	Richmond	VA	23221	United States	3/19/25
Charla Johnson	Richmond	VA	23234	United States	3/28/25
Cheri Bennett	Richmond	VA	23060	United States	4/18/25
Cheryl Pallant	Richmond	VA	23220	United States	3/25/25
Christie Fargis	Richmond	VA	23235	United States	3/21/25
Cindy Anderson	Richmond	VA	23223	United States	3/19/25
Clark Lambert	Richmond	VA	23221	United States	3/25/25
Colette Hardman-Peavy	Richmond	VA	23282	United States	3/19/25
Colin Stuart	Richmond	VA	23220	United States	3/25/25
Cynthia Ferrell	Richmond	VA	23220	United States	3/19/25
Damiyah Wistead	Richmond	VA	23230	United States	4/17/25
Danielle Cohen	Richmond	VA	23221	United States	3/20/25
David Stover	Richmond	VA	23220	United States	3/19/25
David Wilson	Richmond	VA	23226	United States	3/26/25
Diana Gold	Richmond	VA	23221	United States	3/19/25
Dianne McRae Mcrae	Richmond	VA	23231	United States	3/21/25
Edwin Brooks	Richmond	VA	23220	United States	4/18/25
Ellen Betzhold	Richmond	VA	23221	United States	3/21/25
Erin Sexton	Richmond	VA	23222	United States	3/18/25
Evelyn Terry	Richmond	VA	23225	United States	3/20/25
Frances Powell	Richmond	VA	23220	United States	3/20/25
Gary Creditor	Richmond	VA	23220	United States	3/19/25
Gary Shapiro	Richmond	VA	23220	United States	3/19/25
Geri Watkinson	Richmond	VA	23221	United States	3/19/25
Hannah Abbey	Richmond	VA	23221	United States	3/19/25
Helen Ilnicky	Richmond	VA	23221	United States	3/19/25

Holland williams	Richmond	VA	23221	United States	4/8/25
Jackie Kimberlin	Richmond	VA	23221	United States	3/19/25
Jackson Kane	Richmond	VA	23221	United States	3/25/25
Jade Durant	Richmond	VA	23220	United States	3/27/25
Janet Rathner	Richmond	VA	23220	United States	3/19/25
Jeanne LeFever	Richmond	VA	23221	United States	3/19/25
Jennifer Rice	Richmond	VA	23221	United States	3/20/25
Jennifer Stumpf	Richmond	VA	23221	United States	3/19/25
Jesse Bain	Richmond	VA	23221	United States	3/19/25
Jimi Bruce	Richmond	VA	23150	United States	4/11/25
jo smith	Richmond	VA	23220	United States	3/18/25
John Wilson	Richmond	VA	23220	United States	3/24/25
Jonathan Presson	Richmond	VA	23220	United States	4/6/25
Joseph Samuel	Richmond	VA	23225	United States	3/26/25
Joseph Waple	Richmond	VA	23234	United States	3/24/25
Justin Hall	Richmond	VA	23231	United States	4/7/25
K Mandes	Richmond	VA	23221	United States	3/18/25
Karen Andrews	Richmond	VA	23220	United States	3/29/25
Karen Kelly	Richmond	VA	23220	United States	3/18/25
Katherine Smith	Richmond	VA	23229	United States	3/19/25
Kathy Thomasson	Richmond	VA	23075	United States	3/19/25
Katy Fenn	Richmond	VA	23235	United States	3/21/25
Keith Wyllie	Richmond	VA	23226	United States	3/21/25
Kenneth English	Richmond	VA	23223	United States	3/28/25
Kevin Johnson	Richmond	VA	23223	United States	3/20/25
Kimberly Hicks	Richmond	VA	23282	United States	3/20/25
laura wilson	Richmond	VA	23226	United States	3/19/25
Leah DeVita	Richmond	VA	23220	United States	3/22/25
Lee Ann Ruby	Richmond	VA	23221	United States	3/19/25
Lee Carleton	Richmond	VA	23225	United States	3/28/25
Leslie Et Alia	Richmond	VA	23236	United States	3/30/25
Leslie Stack	Richmond	VA	23227	United States	3/19/25
lila rife	Richmond	VA	23220	United States	3/18/25
Lin Rasmussen	Richmond	VA	23227	United States	3/21/25
Liz Clemmer	Richmond	VA	23226	Bahamas	3/19/25
Loran Powell	Richmond	VA	23150	United States	4/13/25
Louise Turton	Richmond	VA	23220	United States	3/19/25
Lynn Farley	Richmond	VA	23221	United States	3/21/25
M Hargrave	Richmond	VA	23220	United States	3/20/25
M'kya Mudahy	Richmond	VA	23222	United States	3/19/25
Marilyn Cayton	Richmond	VA	23220	United States	3/25/25
Mark Boastfield	Richmond	VA	23220	United States	3/19/25
Mark King	Richmond	VA	23234	United States	4/6/25
Marsha Andrasik	richmond	VA	23221	United States	3/20/25
Marsha Feldstein	Richmond	VA	23221	United States	3/19/25
Mary Radford	Richmond	VA	23220	United States	3/22/25
Mary Worth	Richmond	VA	23220	United States	3/21/25
Matt Petit	Richmond	VA	23221	United States	3/26/25

Mckenzie Woodard	Richmond	VA	23226	United States	3/27/25
Meg Spenneberg	Richmond	VA	23220	United States	3/23/25
Meghan Bydlon	Richmond	VA	23221	United States	3/21/25
Melinda McAndrew	Richmond	VA	23220	United States	3/22/25
Melissa Harl	Richmond	VA	23222	United States	3/19/25
MERRILLE CHAPMAN	Richmond	VA	23234	United States	3/22/25
Michael Porch	Richmond	VA	23220	United States	3/18/25
Michael Stumpf	Richmond	VA	23221	United States	3/20/25
michelle obrien	Richmond	VA	23282	United States	3/19/25
Michelle sakael	Richmond	VA	23234	United States	3/28/25
Michelle Whittingham	Richmond	VA	23223	United States	3/21/25
Mike McDowell	Richmond	VA	23220	United States	3/18/25
Nathan Gingras	Richmond	VA	23224	United States	3/18/25
Nick DeVita	Richmond	VA	23220	United States	3/22/25
Nicole Morris-Anastasi	Richmond	VA	23222	United States	3/19/25
Nora Nichols	Richmond	VA	23221	United States	3/19/25
Pamela Beckner	Richmond	VA	23225	United States	3/19/25
Paul Mullins	Richmond	VA	23220	United States	3/20/25
Peter Wilson	Richmond	VA	23235	United States	3/19/25
R Beloff	Richmond	VA	23225	United States	3/26/25
Rachel K	Richmond	VA	23221	United States	3/18/25
Rainey Wilson	Richmond	VA	23220	United States	3/20/25
Randi Wyatt	Richmond	VA	23223	United States	3/30/25
Ready Coffey	Richmond	VA	23227	United States	3/29/25
Rebecca Nierle	Richmond	VA	23235	United States	4/12/25
Rhys Kane	Richmond	VA	23221	United States	3/25/25
Robert Ellis	Richmond	VA	23221	United States	3/28/25
Robert L Hiett	Richmond	VA	23226	United States	3/21/25
Sabet Stroman	Richmond	VA	23223	United States	3/19/25
Saji Slavin	Richmond	VA	23220	United States	3/18/25
Sally Kannemeyer	Richmond	VA	23225	United States	3/29/25
Sarah Hill	Richmond	VA	23226	United States	3/20/25
Scott Ilnicky	Richmond	VA	23221	United States	3/19/25
Sheila Burroughs	Richmond	VA	23221	United States	3/19/25
Shelli Fowler	Richmond	VA	23282	United States	3/19/25
Spring Ayers Rowland	Richmond	VA	23235	United States	4/9/25
Sue WERNER	Richmond	VA	23235	United States	3/19/25
Susan Trigg	Richmond	VA	23226	United States	3/18/25
Taylor Wilson	Richmond	VA	23220	United States	3/20/25
Teryl Wilson	Richmond	VA	23220	United States	3/24/25
Thomas Wyllie	Richmond	VA	23226	United States	3/21/25
Tina Brown	Richmond	VA	23234	United States	3/28/25
Tracey Mauck	Richmond	VA	23220	United States	3/20/25
Turner Cole	Richmond	VA	23221	United States	3/25/25
Walter Franklin	Richmond	VA	23222	United States	3/28/25
william Mears	Richmond	VA	23221	United States	3/18/25
Zack Rathner	Richmond	VA	23222	United States	3/19/25
Анна Горячук	Richmond	VA	23223	United States	3/28/25