

City of Richmond

*City Hall
Richmond VA, 23219
(p) 804.646.6304
(f) 804.646.5789*



Meeting Minutes

Monday, April 18, 2016

1:30 PM

5th Floor Conference Room

Planning Commission

Call To Order

Mr. Law called the meeting to order at 1:30 p.m.

Roll Call

Mr. Keith Rogers attended on behalf of Ms. Cuffee-Glenn. Ms. Robertson arrived after roll call.

- Present** 7 - * Mr. Melvin Law, * Mr. David Johannas, * Mr. Jeffrey Sadler, * Ms. Ellen Robertson, * Ms. Selena Cuffee-Glenn, * Mr. Vivek Murthy, and * Mr. Max Hepp-Buchanan
- Absent** 2 - * Mr. Rodney Poole, and * Ms. Elizabeth Greenfield

Chair's Comments

Mr. Law welcomed everyone who was present.

Approval of Minutes

April 4, 2016 Meeting Minutes

Attachments: [Draft Meeting Minutes, 4-4-16](#)

A motion was made by Mr. Johannas, seconded by Mr. Sadler to approve the April 4, 2016 meeting minutes. The motion carried unanimously.

Aye -- 6 - * Mr. Law, * Mr. Johannas, * Mr. Sadler, * Ms. Cuffee-Glenn, * Mr. Murthy and * Mr. Hepp-Buchanan

Excused -- 1 - * Ms. Robertson

March 21, 2016 Meeting Minutes

Attachments: [Draft Meeting Minutes, March 21, 2016.pdf](#)

A motion was made by Mr. Sadler, seconded by Mr. Hepp-Buchanan to approve the March 21, 2016 meeting minutes. The motion carried.

Aye -- 5 - * Mr. Law, * Mr. Sadler, * Ms. Cuffee-Glenn, * Mr. Murthy and * Mr. Hepp-Buchanan

Excused -- 1 - * Ms. Robertson

Abstain -- 1 - * Mr. Johannas

Director's Report

There was no Director's Report.

Council Action Update

Mr. Thompson stated Resolution 2016-R007 regarding mailings and notifications for

City Planning Commission meetings will be going to Governmental Operations Committee meeting on April 28. Special Use Permit 2804 Idlewood Avenue was approved at City Council's last meeting.

Mr. Rogers stated City Administration has spoken with Councilman Hilbert regarding Resolution 2016-R007; they anticipate working out any issues and have that paper continued when it comes before Governmental Operations later this month.

Mr. Sadler stated evidently they are doing paving on Broad Street between 6th and 7th Streets and asked if that is an acceptable and legal use for that space.

Mr. Olinger stated it is a legal use of the site but it is not the highest and best use of the parcel.

Mr. Sadler asked if there is anything that this body or staff can do about it.

Mr. Olinger stated the plan went through the proper review but he will investigate and report back at the next meeting.

To declare a public necessity and to initiate an amendment to the City's zoning ordinance making the Department of Planning and Development Review, instead of the Office of the City Clerk, responsible for the mailing of required notices of City Planning Commission meetings.

Attachments: [Res. No. 2016-R007](#)

Consideration of Continuances and Deletions from Agenda

9. To authorize the conditional use of the property known as 100 South 14th Street for the purpose of authorizing a nightclub, upon certain terms and conditions. (As Amended)

Attachments: [Ord. No. 2016-102](#)
[Staff Report](#)
[Location Map](#)
[Plans](#)
[Application & Applicant's Report](#)
[Shockoe Partnership Letter of Support](#)
[Fulton Hill Properties Support Letter](#)

To return to Planning Commission May 16

A motion was made by Mr. Johannas, seconded by Mr. Sadler, that this item be continued to the May 16, 2016 meeting. The motion carried.

Consent Agenda

Mr. Hepp-Buchanan commented on Item #5 the Richmond Cycling Corps' Kickstand project, forward thinking as the East Riverfront transportation plan comes to this body, it is going to be very important to look at how the employees of this business or entity will safely get to the location by foot and by bike.

There was no public comment.

A motion was made by Mr. Johannas, seconded by Mr. Murthy, that the Consent Agenda be approved. The motion carried unanimously.

Aye -- 6 - * Mr. Law, * Mr. Johannas, * Mr. Sadler, * Ms. Cuffee-Glenn, * Mr. Murthy and * Mr. Hepp-Buchanan

Excused -- 1 - * Ms. Robertson

1. To authorize the special use of the property known as 1326 North 26th Street for the purpose of permitting a single family detached dwelling, upon certain terms and conditions.

Attachments: [Ord. No. 2016-103](#)

[Staff Report.doc](#)

[Location Map](#)

[Survey](#)

[Plans](#)

[Applicant's Report](#)

[Application](#)

A motion was made that this Ordinance be recommended for approval to City Council. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye -- 6 - * Mr. Law, * Mr. Johannas, * Mr. Sadler, * Ms. Cuffee-Glenn, * Mr. Murthy and * Mr. Hepp-Buchanan

Excused -- 1 - * Ms. Robertson

2. To rezone the properties known as 423 Hull Street, 501 Hull Street, 505 Hull Street, 521 Hull Street, 601 Hull Street, 609 Hull Street, 490 Bainbridge Street, 500 Bainbridge Street, 600 Bainbridge Street, and 20 West 6th Street from B-7 Mixed-Use Business District to B-5 Central Business District.

Attachments: [Ord. No. 2016-104](#)

[Staff Report](#)

[Location Map](#)

[Application & Applicant's Report](#)

[Plat](#)

[Letters of Support](#)

A motion was made that this Ordinance be recommended for approval to City Council. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye -- 6 - * Mr. Law, * Mr. Johannas, * Mr. Sadler, * Ms. Cuffee-Glenn, * Mr. Murthy and * Mr. Hepp-Buchanan

Excused -- 1 - * Ms. Robertson

3. To declare a public necessity and to grant Henrico County permanent

and temporary easements on property along Bryan Park Avenue owned by the City [Parks and Recreation] known as “Bryan Park” [Parcel #N017025001] as shown on Sheets 1 through 7 of plats identified as “PLAT SHOWING VARIABLE WIDTH PERMANENT UTILITY EASEMENTS AND VARIABLE WIDTH TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION EASEMENTS TO BE ACQUIRED THROUGH THE PROPERTY OF THE CITY OF RICHMOND RECREATION & PARKS IN THE CITY OF RICHMOND, VIRGINIA” prepared by Precision Measurements, Inc.

- Attachments:** [Staff Report](#)
[Henrico Plats.pdf](#)
[UPHAM SEWER HENRICO PC Request csy 04 11 16.docx](#)

The Location was approved on the Consent Agenda.

Aye -- 6 - * Mr. Law, * Mr. Johannas, * Mr. Sadler, * Ms. Cuffee-Glenn, * Mr. Murthy and * Mr. Hepp-Buchanan

Excused -- 1 - * Ms. Robertson

4. Final Location, Character and Extent Review of a sign identifying Albert Hill Middle School, 3400 Patterson Avenue

- Attachments:** [UDC Report to Planning Commission](#)
[Application & Plans](#)

The Final Location, Character and Extent Review was approved on the Consent Agenda.

5. Final Location, Character and Extent review of rental outpost for the Richmond Cycling Corps’ Kickstand at 3011 Water St; UDC No. 2016-11

- Attachments:** [UDC Report to Planning Commission](#)
[Application & Plans](#)
[Public Comment - In Support](#)

The Final Location, Character and Extent Review was approved on the Consent Agenda.

The consent agenda consists of items that appear relatively non-controversial in nature and for which there was no known opposition at the time this agenda was set. The Consent Agenda items will be considered by the Commission as a group, and there will be a single combined staff presentation and a single combined public hearing held for all items listed on the Consent Agenda.

Regular Agenda

6. To declare that a public necessity exists and to authorize the acquisition of certain interests in real estate located east of Capitol Square for improvements related to Main Street Station and for public right-of-way purposes.

Attachments: [Ord. No. 2016-098](#)

[Staff Report](#)

[Plans and Plat](#)

Mr. M. Khara provided a presentation.

Mr. Chris Beschler spoke on behalf of General Services from the Commonwealth. The closing gives the Commonwealth control of the broad capitol square and gives clear title to the City. For any future events like cycling races, the Memorandum of Understanding provides the city with rights.

Mr. Khara stated once adopted the terms and conditions will need to be fulfilled in 30 days.

Mr. Sadler asked if Governor street will also be closed to pedestrians and cyclists.

Mr. Khara stated yes, the state controls it.

Mr. Sadler stated could the MOU accommodate mass transit if proposed.

Mr. Khara stated it is not intended for BRT uses.

Mr. Sadler stated the Planning Commission discussed potentially using 14th Street if conditions changed.

Mr. Khara stated the answer is it does permanently remove the possibility. The State will have control. The BRT is not part of the MOU.

Mr. Beschler stated the state will work with the city to facilitate any re-routing of traffic or installation of traffic signals, and signage should a bottleneck happen.

Mr. Johannas asked why can't Governor Street remain open.

Mr. Beschler stated the state needs clear title and there are also security reasons.

Mr. Johannas asked how many total blocks are closed.

Mr. Khara stated about two blocks.

Mr. Johannas pointed out that from 9th street to 14th street there is no access across the capitol.

Mr. Hepp-Buchanan asked Mr. Khara to clarify his response to the question whether it will remain open for walking and biking.

Mr. Khara stated walking is permitted but the state could install barricades.

Mr. Sadler asked could they gate it.

Mr. Khara stated there is nothing that they are proposing right now but they would have control.

Mr. Murthy asked is there any intention to develop or remove the road.

Mr. Matt Welch stated the city retains certain easements for emergency and utility purposes.

Public Hearing

Mr. Sam Forrest stated it is a bad idea to close Governor Street.

Mr. Johannas stated the deal has been going on for years. The city is getting some property but it does concern him that the city is losing large swaths.

A motion was made by Mr. Rogers, seconded by Mr. Johannas, that this ordinance be recommended for approval to City Council. The motion carried unanimously.

- 7. To declare surplus and direct the conveyance of City-owned interests in real estate located east of Capitol Square for nominal consideration for the purpose of clarifying the property interests held by the City and the Commonwealth.

Attachments: [Ord. No. 2016-099](#)
[Staff Report](#)
[Plans and Plat](#)

A motion was made by Mr. Rogers, seconded by Mr. Murthy, that this ordinance be recommended for approval to City Council. The motion carried unanimously.

- 8. To close, to public use and travel, a portion of North 12th Street and Governor Street from its intersection with East Broad Street to its intersection with Bank Street, consisting of 50,337± square feet, upon certain terms and conditions, and to repeal Ord. No. 2005-162-152, adopted Jul. 25, 2005, and Ord. No. 2005-231-209, adopted Oct. 10, 2005.

Attachments: [Ord. No. 2016-105](#)
[Plans and Plat](#)
[Staff Report](#)

A motion was made by Mr. Rogers, seconded by Mr. Johannas, that this ordinance be recommended for approval to City Council. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye -- 5 - * Mr. Law, * Mr. Johannas, * Ms. Cuffee-Glenn, * Mr. Murthy and * Mr. Hepp-Buchanan

No -- 1 - * Mr. Sadler

Excused -- 1 - * Ms. Robertson

- 10. Maggie L. Walker Commemoration

Attachments: [Staff Report on Art to Planning Commission](#)
[Staff Report on Plaza to Planning Commission](#)
[Maggie L Walker Public Art Staff Report](#)
[SitePlan4816 Maggie Walker Public Art](#)
[January 12, 2016 Public Meeting Presentation](#)
[January 12, 2016 meeting public comment](#)
[February 20, 2016 Public Meeting Design Boards](#)
[March 15, 2016 Site Team Presentation](#)
[Maggie Walker Public Comments](#)
[Letter from Dept of Historic Resources](#)
[CAR Maggie Walker Comment Letter](#)
[Shade and Program Study.pdf](#)
[Maggie Walker Memorial Plaza VAASLA Letter to Members of Planning + Art Commission April 15 2016.pdf](#)

Mr. Olinger provided a brief introduction.

Ms. Ellyn Parker gave staff presentation for Public Art Commission recommendation.

Mr. Sadler asked how many public hearings were held.

Ms. Parker stated 4 large public meetings plus many smaller stakeholder comments.

Mr. Sadler asked did those meeting create consensus.

Ms. Parker stated yes.

Mr. Sadler asked has there been opportunities to respond to the designs.

Ms. Parker stated they have gotten a lot of public comments through email and stakeholder meetings.

Mr. Toby Mendez provided the artist's presentation.

Ms. Kathleen Onufer provided a staff presentation and the Urban Design Committee recommendations.

Mr. Johannas asked how many people from the public attended the Urban Design Committee.

Ms. Onufer stated enough to limit public comment.

Mr. Hepp-Buchanan asked have any recommendations been acted on between the Urban Design Committee and now.

Ms. Onufer stated the designer can address that question in more detail.

Mr. Sadler asked how many people were at the Urban Design Committee.

Ms. Onufer stated they received 16 public comment letters; various letters and petitions both for and against location, character, and extent.

Mr. Sadler asked were any of those comments incorporated into the Urban Design Committee recommendations.

Ms. Onufer stated yes, in many manners of the recommendations.

Mr. Mendez began his presentation for VHB.

Ms. Nancy Rodrigue, VHB, spoke in regards to the design.

Mr. John Carty, VHB, spoke in regards to the site engineering.

Mr. Sadler asked where it says site furnishings, bike accommodations and materials local to Jackson Ward, is that the language that was used in the recommendations.

Mr. Carty stated it is not. The comment was recommend that the applicant provide schedule and cut sheets for lighting furniture, receptacles, and bicycle accommodations with materials that are connected to the culture of Jackson Ward. With the final Urban Design Committee package they are required to provide cut sheets for all the site furnishings and materials that they will be providing.

Mr. Sadler asked is there a difference between materials local to Jackson Ward and what the recommendations are.

Ms. Onufer stated their word for word recommendation is they wanted materials that are referential to Jackson Ward.

Mr. Sadler stated the only thing he heard other than bollards were cast iron and iron bollards. He asked if they would use a different type of bollard when you say a strategy other than bollards.

Ms. Rodrigue stated they can consider other materials but they can also consider other features such as planters or some other feature that would operate or create that same safe environment that the bollards were trying to create.

Mr. Sadler asked do you believe that cast iron bollards would be referential to Jackson Ward and satisfy this or not.

Ms. Onufer stated they wanted to explore a wide variety of strategies.

Mr. Hepp-Buchanan asked when we are discussing the design of the space are you taking into account the parking, crosswalks, anything in the street or are we confined to the curblines.

Mr. Carty stated they will be redefining the location of the curbline along Adam Street since this is currently open for traffic.

Mr. Hepp-Buchanan asked what is being planted in the green space.

Ms. Rodrigue stated something that is low maintenance and low profile.

Ms. Driggs spoke to the Site Selection Committee meeting.

Mr. Murthy stated it was said that DHR is not in support of this site, has there been any conversation as to why.

Ms. Driggs stated there is a letter; she actually spoke to the Director of the Department of Historic Resources about a month ago who said that she was not going to weigh in on

it, she did not think it was her business. Ms. Driggs stated that they are not hearing from DHR about closing Governor Street.

Public Hearing

Ms. Linda Herman stated where oh where, what, what, and when. Richmond is called the city of monuments and it is well earned. She stated how many statues of women exist in the Commonwealth of Virginia. She provided a summary of other womens' history and the erasure of some of that history.

Mr. Melvin Jones thanked everyone who supported the Maggie Walker effort.

Ms. Shantal Mapp stated she is a descendent of the women who pioneered in the age of Maggie Walker. She thanked the City of Richmond for doing this great thing. She has questions about the proximity of the statue from West Broad Street; not clear if the surrounding trees will provide a clear view to the public passing by. She asked how wide is the statue.

Mr. Maurice Hopkins stated he is key member of Maggie Walker HS alumni as well as a former resident of Jackson Ward. He is responsible for the alumni being involved. They have been with this project long before the City got involved and are delighted. We should focus on the statue and not the trivialities of the plaza.

Mr. Johnny Mickens stated he is Maggie Walker's great grandson. He thanked everyone on behalf of the family.

Mr. Sam Forrest stated this is a bad idea. The driving force behind this is Max's restaurant. There are other places to put Maggie Walker, the black museum or Abner Clay Park. He recommends tabling it to the next election.

Mr. Eppa Hunton stated his family has been here since 1904. His residence and business is in the City of Richmond. He comes to the issue late and is highly in favor of the project. He has a problem because everyone that spoke, Site Selection Committee and others assumed the location. They were told at the beginning of this process, the plaza at Brook and Broad Street; there was never a chance to discuss other options. His suggestion is to go back to a full size monument on a bigger piece of land. All the old residential areas have been taken over by various corporate uses. He recommended a piece of land between 3rd street and I-95.

Mr. Rubin Peacock stated he is a 25 year resident of Brook Road across from the proposed plaza. The memorial wall is a contradiction of the overall design. The wall indicates do not cross, this is a barrier. He is going to be officially cut off from any loading zones. He stated why do we need to add Maggie Walker's name and info to the wall as well. He thinks only hoodlums, bums and skateboarders will congregate in the plaza. The wall will serve as a dam. If the bricks are going to be pervious, then the whole plaza will need to be brought down. There were 10 cars parked on Brook Road and Adams Street the night before.

Ms. Mary Hunton stated she is a citizen of the City of Richmond. She stated she is confused about the conceptual design and did not see the outside dining. Doesn't seem to be enough space to adequately commemorate Maggie Walker. How will people actually be able to see the statue from the bus station. The Urban Design Committee came up with a bunch of negative recommendations.

Mr. Sadler asked if she had an opinion of the statue and pedestal.

Ms. Hunton stated it should be higher and go to Monroe Park. The park should be

renamed, the Maggie Walker Park.

Ms. Jeannie Dotts stated she is a resident of Church Hill with a business in Jackson Ward. She stated this project has become decisive. Nobody will respond to the question of how much it will cost. The process has not included enough people. The opposition is to the proposed concept, not the statue or the project. The Commission of Architectural Review should have reviewed this. There are letters of opposition from various historical groups. She stated we need to come up with a better plan.

Ms. Beth Glasser stated she is a resident of Jackson Ward. She asked do we have a budget for this. Things keep changing. The City is in really bad shape so instead of changing the triangle, use what is there. She stated the petition to save the tree was ignored.

Mr. Andrew Bleckley stated he is a landscape architect and presented to the Urban Design Committee. This is a very important space; he would like the Planning Commission to enforce the recommendations that came from the Urban Design Committee. He would like the Planning Commission to address the following questions. How will the comments from the Urban Design Committee be enforced? How many people is the space designed to accommodate? How will the hardscape be increased? How will skateboarders be dealt with?

Ms. Carol Patterson commented on the interpretation of Maggie Walker and the work of Mr. Mendez. Part of the problem with the interpretation of Maggie Walker is strictly as a business woman and part of it is, it has been reduced to just business and a jingle. Toby's other work is more dynamic.

Ms. Cabel West stated she is a resident of Richmond, Virginia. She stated it is time that we recognize Maggie Walker. She commented on the live oak. It takes years for a tree to get to this size. Twelve trees should be planted to replace a tree per City policy. She asked the following questions: How many trees are being proposed? What about the bus stops? Did anyone look at Abner Clay Park. Where is the money coming from? What about ADA access? She stated there is not enough places to park. She also stated we have taken down to many trees.

Mr. Mendez provided information on the dimensions of the proposed statue. The space is only one plane and accessible to all people. The plaza accommodates approximately 400 people.

Mr. Murthy asked how much will the sculpture cost.

Mr. Mendez stated the budget for the sculpture and pedestal is \$300k.

Mr. Murthy asked does that give you enough flexibility to provide the respect to Maggie Walker.

Mr. Mendez stated yes, as long as it does not take too long.

Mr. Johannas stated there will be one motion for the statue and another for the site. He has lots of comments for the site. He has looked at all the guidelines and has a background in site design. He has looked at all the comments. He provided a number of comments on the site. He moved to support the conceptual design for the sculpture and that the location for the sculpture be approved.

Mr. Sadler clarified the motion that it is to approve the art and whether this includes the location.

Mr. Olinger stated yes, that is part of the motion. The plaza design will be part of a second motion yet to come.

Mr. Murthy asked about the funding.

Mr. Olinger stated there is a budget under the Public Art Commission to do the statue, so item 10 is fully funded.

Mr. Sadler stated that comes from the 1% for the arts.

Mr. Olinger stated it comes from CIP funding for the 1% for Art. To be clear, It is part of the CIP funding is not a 1% for the art project.

Mr. Sadler asked are those funds available for other uses.

Mr. Olinger stated it is solely for public art in the City.

A motion was made by Commissioner Johannas, seconded by Councilor Robertson, that this Section 17.05 Review be approved. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye -- 7 - * Mr. Law, * Mr. Johannas, * Mr. Sadler, * Ms. Robertson, * Ms. Cuffee-Glenn, * Mr. Murthy and * Mr. Hepp-Buchanan

11. Conceptual Location, Character and Extent review of Maggie Walker Memorial Plaza; UDC No. 2016-12

Attachments: [UDC Report to Planning Commission](#)

[Updated Plans, 5-12,16.pdf](#)

[UDC Recommendations-Response.pdf](#)

[Application & Plans](#)

[Comment Letter from the Commission of Architectural Review](#)

[Public Comment to CPC](#)

[Public Comment to UDC](#)

[Public Comment Presentations to the UDC](#)

[Petitions of Support & for Taller Statuary](#)

[Maggie Walker Memorial Plaza VAASLA Letter to Members of Planning + Art Commission April 15 2016.pdf](#)

Mr. Johannas motioned to continue the item until the design team has considered all the Urban Design Committee recommendations. He is however disappointed that the design team is refusing to do 60-70% of the recommendations. We need to stand behind the Urban Design Committee.

Mr. Sadler asked that it be put back to the Urban Design Committee and have one more public meeting.

Mr. Rogers stated he does not support going back to the Urban Design Committee.

Mr. Johannas requested it goes back to the Urban Design Committee.

Mr. Olinger clarified that the Urban Design Committee did not ask for another conceptual review.

Mr. Johannas stated he is requesting that the Urban Design Committee follow up with this.

Mr. Sadler stated he would like the Urban Design Committee's input on what the next version looks like in light of the designers proposed exceptions to the Urban Design Committee recommendations.

Mr. Sadler stated the monument is hitting the mark. But he does not believe the plaza is creating a public space. He referred to past public projects and after there was more input, the plans became much better. It is a wonderful project and he does not want to jeopardize that.

Mr. Olinger stated there has been a lot of commentary and discussion. The tree recommendations have been made by all boards and commissions that the live oak goes. All boards and commissions, even though there is correspondence to the contrary, that Brook Road has been closed to vehicular use only, it is still a public right-of-way. There is an agreement that Brook closes and that the tree goes. He stated there is a very limited space, and they have looked at all options. If the recommendation from folks say they want to keep the tree and they want to keep Brook open, you do not have a plaza at that intersection.

Mr. Johannas stated he thought things were closer, but now it feels like that is not the case.

Ms. Robertson wants to make sure we are responding only to the Urban Design Committee.

Mr. Law believes this is an unnecessary delay.

Ms. Robertson stated her understanding is that they take more time to consider the landscape design for the plaza based on the recommendation from the Urban Design Committee. It will also go back to the Urban Design Committee before coming back to the Planning Commission.

Mr. Johannas stated yes.

Mr. Murthy stated they need to take the time to do a good design.

Mr. Olinger recommended 30 days with a design.

Mr. Sadler stated he will not support 30 days. There is too much public discontent to move that quickly.

Mr. Olinger stated they will come back in 60 days.

Mr. Hepp-Buchanan stated when voting, if you want the road open and the tree saved, make a motion to deny. He would like to have a better understanding of the budget and that there were better qualifiers for the UDC recommendations.

Mr. Rogers stated his motion is to have the design team go back and more comprehensively address the Urban Design Committee recommendations, then bring it back to the Planning Commission in 30 days. Do not send it back to the Urban Design Committee.

Mr. Johannas stated his motion is to continue this item to the Urban Design Committee and come back to the Planning Commission in 60 days. The motion failed, 3-4.

Mr. Rogers stated his motion is to Continue for 30 days to get additional comments and come back to the Planning Commission.

Mr. Sadler stated when we accept something conceptually it means that we agree pretty substantially with where it is going. He stated he will have to vote against this.

Mr. Roger's motion passed 4-3 to continue the plaza design for 30 days and to be brought back before the Planning Commission with the UDC recommendations addressed.

Mr. Hepp-Buchanan recommended there also be more information for the budget.

A motion was made by Mr. Rogers, seconded by Ms. Robertson, that this item be continued to the May 16, 2016 Planning Commission meeting. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye -- 4 - * Mr. Law, * Ms. Robertson, * Ms. Cuffee-Glenn and * Mr. Hepp-Buchanan

No -- 3 - * Mr. Johannas, * Mr. Sadler and * Mr. Murthy

To authorize the Chief Administrative Officer to accept grant funds in the amount of \$1,722,818 from the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality and to appropriate the increase to the Fiscal Year 2015-2016 Capital Budget by increasing estimated revenues and the amount appropriated to the Department of Public Utilities' Stormwater Facilities Improvements program in the utilities category by \$1,722,818 for the purpose of constructing the Maury Cemetery Stream Restoration project and the Pocosham Creek Stream Restoration project.

Attachments: [Ord. No. 2016-124](#)

A motion was made by Mr. Hepp-Buchanan, seconded by Mr. Murthy, to put the item on the agenda. The motion carried 6-1.

A motion was made that this Ordinance be recommended for approval to City Council. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye -- 6 - * Mr. Law, * Mr. Johannas, * Ms. Robertson, * Ms. Cuffee-Glenn, * Mr. Murthy and * Mr. Hepp-Buchanan

No -- 1 - * Mr. Sadler

Upcoming Items

To authorize the special use of the property known as 2701 Woodrow Avenue for the purpose of an addition to an existing single-family dwelling, upon certain terms and conditions.

Attachments: [Ord. No. 2016-127](#)

[Location Map](#)

[Application Form & Applicant's Report](#)

[Plans & Survey](#)

[Petition of Support](#)

Key Issues:

Retain on Consent Agenda

Move to Regular Agenda

Refer Back to Committee

Remove from Council Agenda

Strike Withdrawn ---- Continue to:

To authorize the special use of the properties known as 1300 McDonough Street, 1302 McDonough Street, 1304 McDonough Street, and 1306 McDonough Street for the purpose of a mixed-use development with up to 12 dwelling units and ground floor commercial space, upon certain terms and conditions.

Attachments: [Ord. No. 2016-126](#)

[Location Map](#)

[Plans](#)

[Survey](#)

[Application & Applicant's Report](#)

[Letter of Support](#)

Adjournment

Mr. Law adjourned the meeting at 4:59 p.m.

All persons attending the meeting are requested to register on the attendance sheets that have been placed on the chairs and are also available at the table by the conference room entrance. Once you have completed an attendance sheet, it should be provided to the Commission staff.

Melvin D. Law, Vice Chair

Daniel "Willy" Thompson, Acting Secretary