7. COA-091354-2021

PUBLIC HEARING DATE

May 25, 2021

PROPERTY ADDRESS

Monument Avenue Various

DISTRICT

APPLICANT

Commission of

Architectural Review

STAFF REPORT

Parks, Recreation, & Community Facilities

STAFF CONTACT C. Jones

Monument Avenue PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Remove various monuments and related objects and a cannon.

PROJECT DETAILS

- The applicant proposes to remove all Cityowned monuments, pedestals, and a cannon on Monument Avenue.
- The monuments were previously removed by order of the mayor.
- At the location of the Matthew F. Maury monument, the applicant proposes to retain the curbing for the traffic circle and the small retaining wall. The lighting will be removed and new landscaping will be installed.
- At the location of the Stonewall Jackson monument, the application proposes to remove all the curbing and pave the area to match the existing materials.
- At the location of the Jefferson Davis and the J.E.B. Stuart monuments, the applicant proposes to retain the traffic circle and plant landscape plants.
- When the Confederate Cannon #1 and base are removed, the applicant proposes to seed the area to match the existing plant species.

The location of the monument, pedestal, and related objects has not been determined and is not considered as part of this application. Should any part of the monuments and related objects be considered for reinstallation in a City Old and Historic District, an application to locate them in a City Old and Historic District will be necessary.
 Image: construction of the second of the

The City of Richmond assumes no liability either for any errors, omissions, or inaccuracies in the information provided regardless of the cause of such or for any decision made, action taken, or action not taken by the user in reliance upon any maps or information provided herein.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION





Old and Historic Since the Guideli the City Code of https://library.mu Staff also utilized document titled:	f reviewed the project through the lens of the "B District Handbook and Design Review Guideling ines do not specifically address removal and rel Ordinance, specifically the zoning ordinance, av <u>nicode.com/va/richmond/codes/code_of_ordina</u> I the guidance provided by the Virginia Departm DHR Guidance Regarding Confederate Monum <u>virginia.gov/wp-content/uploads/2020/06/Monun</u>	es utilizing the Guidelines presented below. location of objects, Commission staff also utilized vailable here: <u>inces?nodeld=CH30ZO_ARTIINGE</u> . nent of Historic Resources (DHR) in their ients, available here:	
The Commission	has not previously reviewed this application.		
 the object 	ED CONDITIONS est means possible be used to remove the object ts be removed in a single piece if possible, or be ed pieces be stored in a secure environment be	e disassembled at the original joints	
STAFF ANALYSIS			
Building Relocation, pg. 81	1. Will the proposed relocation have a detrimental effect on the structural soundness of the building?	The applicant provides a preliminary process for removal including using a hand grinder to remove any mortar, cutting any internal/hidden bronze pins or straps, and possibly drilling holes into the hidden faces of some stone components to allow for pin insertion and lifting access. Staff finds that this is minimally invasive and recommends approval with the condition <u>the gentlest means possible be used</u> to remove the objects.	
	2. Will the proposed relocation have a detrimental effect on other historic sites, buildings or structures in an Old and Historic District?	The applicant does not propose any changes to any other elements in the Monument Avenue City and Old Historic District. As such, staff finds that removing the objects associated with the monuments and the cannon will not have a detrimental effect on other historic sites, buildings, or structures in the Monument Avenue City and Old Historic District.	
Code of Ordinances, Sec. 30-100, Purpose	The purpose of this chapter is to adopt a comprehensive zoning plan designed to: (2) Secure safety from fire, flood, panic and other danger; (3) Promote health, sanitation and general welfare;	As indicated in the application, the monument and associated objects are symbols of oppression and inequality in prominent public places that are associated with the era of legalized segregation, known as the Jim Crow laws ¹ , and the Lost Cause. ² The applicant further states that the monuments have	

¹ Why the COV Can Remove Richmond's Lee Monument Despite its Designation to the State and Federal Historic Registers. DHR webpage, accessed May 13th, 2021: <u>https://www.dhr.virginia.gov/news/why-the-cov-can-remove-richmonds-lee-monument-despite-its-designation-to-the-state-and-federal-historic-registers/</u>

² The Lost Cause: Definitions and Origins. The American Battlefield Trust. Article published October 30, 2020 and updated March 25, 2021. Accessed May 13th, 2021: <u>https://www.battlefields.org/learn/articles/lost-cause-definition-and-origins</u>

	(7) Facilitate the creation of a convenient, attractive and harmonious community;	become locations that endanger public safety. Staff finds that the removal of the monuments, associated objects, and the cannon is in keeping with the City of Richmond Code of Ordinances.
DHR Guidance, Considerations for Appropriate Removal	 Methods – remove in a single piece if possible. If not, disassemble at original joints Safekeeping of associated plaques, time capsules, removal ornament Storage, Repurposing, Deaccessioning, Acquisition by another entity or institution Security 	Staff recommends the monument and associated <u>objects be removed in a single</u> <u>piece if possible, or be disassembled at the</u> <u>original joints</u> . Staff further recommends <u>all</u> <u>removed pieces be stored in a secure</u> <u>environment before final disposition</u> .
DHR Guidance, Implications Regarding National Register of Historic Places and Virginia Landmarks Register Listings	Concerning most historic districts in Virginia, removal of a monument/memorial that is a contributing object or structure likely would not cause the entire district to be delisted. Both the VLR and NRHP have a high bar for delisting a historic district, as the entire district must be found no longer to be a distinguishable entity with significance and integrity. That said, demolitions in one area on the edge of a district can result in changing the district's boundary to remove that area. Demolitions that eat up the center of a district likely would lead to delisting as the VLR and NRHP do not allow 'donut holes' in districts. But the types of monuments and memorials in most districts do not have that kind of scale.	The Monument Avenue City Old and Historic District is 91 acres and consists of 357 properties. The areas of significance for the district, as identified in the National Historic Landmark (NHL) nomination form, include community planning and development, architecture, and art, sculpture, and the only identified historic context in the NHL form is regional and urban planning. In addition to the monuments, the district also contains large mansions, townhouses, churches, and apartment buildings. The majority of the buildings have similar building materials, cornice and roofline designs, and setbacks, creating a cohesive architectural statement. A number of buildings are designed by notable architects include John Russell Pope, William Lawrence Bottomley, W. Duncan Lee, and Noland & Baskervill. In addition, the overall design of the district and urban design elements reflect the early 20 th -century City Beautiful movement. ³ Staff finds that the removal of the monuments and related objects will not impact the overall significance of the district. The architectural elements that contribute to the districts' significance – namely the mansions, houses, and churches that line the boulevard – will remain intact and will continue to be some of the best examples of early 20 th -century

³ Monument Avenue Historic District, DHR website: <u>https://www.dhr.virginia.gov/historic-registers/127-0174/</u> and National Register Nomination Form: <u>https://www.dhr.virginia.gov/wp-content/uploads/2018/04/127-0174_Monument_Avenue_HD_1969_NRHP_nomination_Final.pdf</u>, <u>https://www.dhr.virginia.gov/wp-content/uploads/2018/04/127-0174_Monument_Avenue_HD_1990_Amendment_NRHP_nomination_Final.pdf</u> and <u>https://www.dhr.virginia.gov/wp-content/uploads/2018/04/127-0174_Monument_Avenue_HD_1997_Nomination_NHL-4.pdf</u>

architecture in the City. Staff also finds that the district will continue to convey its significant association with early twentieth century planning principles. As such, staff finds that the district will retain its historic significance and integrity despite the removal of the monuments and the related features.

It is the assessment of staff that, with the conditions above, the application is consistent with the Standards for Rehabilitation and New Construction outlined in Section 30-930.7 (b) and (c) of the City Code, as well as with the Richmond Old and Historic Districts Handbook and Design Review Guidelines, specifically the pages cited above, adopted by the Commission for review of Certificates of Appropriateness under the same section of the code.

FIGURES



Figure 1. Matthew F. Maury Monument prior to removal.



Figure 2. Matthew F. Maury Monument, current condition.



Figure 3. Stonewall Jackson Monument, prior to removal.



Figure 4. Stonewall Jackson Monument, current condition.



Figure 5. Jefferson Davis Monument, prior to removal.



Figure 6. Jefferson Davis Monument, current condition.



Figure 7. Confederate cannon #1, prior to removal.



Figure 8. Confederate cannon #1, current condition.



nt Figure 9. J.E.B. Stuart monument, prior to removal.



Figure 10. J.E.B. Stuart monument, current condition.