### 10. COA-086192-2021

PUBLIC HEARING DATE

February 23, 2021

PROPERTY ADDRESS

### 2012 West Grace Street

DISTRICT

West Grace Street

#### APPLICANT G. Snyder

Commission of

Architectural Review

STAFF REPORT

STAFF CONTACT

C. Jones

#### PROJECT DESCRIPTION Alter roof form of a rear carriage house.

#### PROJECT DETAILS

- The applicant requests permission to retain an altered roof on a two-story rear carriage house.
- In January 2021, staff observed construction of a new roof on the carriage house without approval from the Commission of Architectural Review and issued a notice of violation. Property maintenance also issued a stop work order since the applicant did not obtain a building permit.
- The applicant has stated that the repairs were needed to address changes made by a previous owner, and that a number of attempts have been made to repair the roof and walls. The applicant has owned the property for nine years and has garnered administrative approvals from staff and building permits for other work. However, staff could not find any communications from the property owner to staff regarding the carriage house roof, nor any building permits for the previous work.
- The applicant has also removed a number of brick courses for waterproofing, and removed a decorative trim piece with a box gutter.



The City of Richmond assumes no liability either for any errors, omissions, or inaccuracies in the information provided regardless of the cause of such or for any decision made, action taken, or action not taken by the user in reliance upon any maps or information provided herein.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

#### DENY

#### PREVIOUS REVIEWS

The Commission has not previously reviewed this application.

STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS

- the applicant return the roof to its original height and configuration and reinstall the decorative trim piece and box gutter and that all replacement materials match the original in design, color, profile, and material
- a waterproofing treatment not be used on the historic masonry
- the applicant use a mortar that replicates the historic mortar to prevent further damage to the historic bricks; consult the National Park Service Technical Preservation Brief #2: *Repointing Mortar Joints in Historic Masonry Buildings*; and consider ways to minimize the appearance of the new mortar

# STAFF ANALYSIS

The Secretary of the Interior Standards For Rehabilitation, pg. 4	<ol> <li>The historic character of a property shall be retained and preserved. The removal of historic materials or alteration of features and spaces that characterize a property shall be avoided.</li> <li>Distinctive features, finishes and construction techniques or examples of craftsmanship that characterize a property shall be preserved.</li> <li>Deteriorated historic features shall be repaired rather than replaced. When the severity of deterioration requires replacement or a distinctive feature, the new feature shall match the old in design, color, texture and other visual qualities and, where possible, materials. Replacement of missing features shall be substantiated by documentary, physical or pictorial evidence.</li> </ol>	Staff finds that the applicant has raised the roof of the existing structure and has altered the roof form in a manner that is not in keeping with the historic roof that characterized the carriage house. Staff believes that the applicant has increased the height of the roof, which has altered the historic relationship between the exterior wall height and the parapet walls that characterizes a number of historic carriage houses in the area, and has created a cantilever over the parapet walls. Staff finds that the increased height and altered roof form is not in keeping with the Secretary of the Interior Standards, and recommends denial of the change. Staff further recommends that <u>the applicant return the roof to its original height</u> and configuration. Staff also recommends the applicant <u>reinstall</u> <u>the decorative trim piece and box gutter and that all replacement materials match the</u> <u>original in design, color, profile, and material</u> .
Masonry, pg. 89	2. Waterproofing. Do not use waterproof or water repellent coatings to stop moisture problems. The use of these products often results in moisture being trapped inside masonry, worsening the cycle of decay.	The applicant indicates that the masonry courses have been removed for waterproofing. In keeping with the <i>Guidelines</i> , staff recommends <u>against using a waterproofing</u> treatment on the historic masonry.
Masonry, pg. 90	Replacement mortar should duplicate the original in strength, composition, color and texture. The use of a traditional red wash is encouraged for remediation in cases where the previous repointing of exterior masonry has resulted in masonry joints of an inappropriate width and/or color and where the removal and replacement of the mortar would likely cause further damage.	Staff recommends the applicant use a mortar that replicates the historic mortar to prevent further damage to the historic bricks, and recommends the applicant consult the National Park Service Technical Preservation Brief #2: <i>Repointing Mortar Joints in Historic Masonry</i> <i>Buildings.</i> Staff also recommends the applicant consider ways to minimize the appearance of the new mortar.

## FIGURES



Figure 1. 2012 W. Grace St, carriage house, 2016 Google streetview image.



Figure 2. 2012 W. Grace St, carriage house, current view.



Figure 3. Assessor photograph for 2010 W. Grace St. 2012 W. Grace Street carriage house on the right.



Figure 4. 2012 W. Grace St, carriage house, current roof form. .



Figure 5. Assessor photograph for 2010 W. Grace St. 2016 W. Grace Street carriage house on the left.

Figure 6. 2012 W. Grace St, carriage house, current roof form.



Figure 7. 2012 W. Grace St, carriage house, current roof form.

Figure 8. 2012 W. Grace St, carriage house, new cantilever.