

## **COMMISSION OF ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW**

## APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS

PROPERTY (location of work)  Address 808 N. 21st St Richmond, VA 23223			Date/time rec'd: 12/20/17 3:55  Rec'd by: M. P:+ts  Application #: COA-038036-2017  Hearing date: 1/23/18	
Historic district Union Hill				
APPLICANT INI	FORMATION			
<sub>Name</sub> Daniil Kleyman			Phone 804-991-4111	
Company Evolv	ve Development, Inc	Email dvk5f@yahoo.com		
Mailing Address 3420 Pump Rd Suite 169			Applicant Type:  Owner	☐ Agent
Richmond, VA 23233			☐ Lessee ☐ Architect Other (please specify):	☐ Contractor
OWNER INFORMATION (if different from above)  Name Same  Mailing Address			Company Phone Email	
PROJECT INFO	RMATION			
Review Type: Project Type:	☐ Conceptual Review☐ Alteration	■ Final Review □ Demolition	☐ New Construction (Conceptual Review Required)	
Project Description	on: (attach additional sheets i	f needed)		
See attached	description please			

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF RESPONSIBILITY

Compliance: If granted, you agree to comply with all conditions of the COA. Revisions to approved work require staff review and may require a new application and CAR approval. Failure to comply with the COA may result in project delays or legal action. The COA is valid for one (1) year and may be extended for an additional year, upon written request.

Requirements: A complete application includes all applicable information requested on checklists to provide a complete and accurate description of existing and proposed conditions. Preliminary review meeting or site visit with staff may be necessary to process the application. Owner contact information and signature is required. Late or incomplete applications will not be considered.

Zoning Requirements: Prior to CAR review, it is the responsibility of the applicant to determine if zoning approval is required and application materials should be prepared in compliance with zoning.

Signature of Owner Date 12/18/17

Daniil Kleyman Evolve Development, Inc 3420 Pump Rd Suite 169 Richmond, VA 23233

December 18, 2017

To whom it may concern,

This project has already undergone extensive review and multiple CAR meetings, with an approval in the spring of 2017. This new application only seeks one modification to previously approved plans: change the sides and rear elevations back to hardie plank.

Background: our original proposed plans called for hardie plank siding on all 4 elevations. It is very rare for a project this small, in this location, to be built with anything other than hardie. During the project review at the CAR meeting, multiple members felt that a brick front was in order given that the majority of the block is also built with masonry.

Given that requirement of having a brick front, I volunteered at that point to just do the entire building in brick. My expectation was that brick would price out somewhere around 2x or 2.5x the cost of hardie (materials and labor).

Unfortunately what I am finding out as we get close to breaking ground is that brick will cost anywhere between 3x to 4.5x the cost of hardie. This flat out makes this project unfeasible. Brick is simply too costly to be used, especially in this location where rents will absolutely, 100% not justify or support the added cost of construction.

So it is my proposal now to go back to a brick front, which was the suggestion and consensus of the CAR committee at the last meeting. The brick will wrap around roughly 2 feet and then transition to smooth, 8.25" (7" reveal) hardie siding.

A color will be chosen later from the color palentte and sent for admin approval to staff.

The end result will be going back to what the CAR wanted in the first place, a brick front to match the rest of the block, while allowing this project to actually be built and the investment to actually happen on a block that can really benefit from it.

Thank you very much for your time,

Daniil V. Kleyman

