COMMISSION OF ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW STAFF REPORT March 28, 2017 Meeting

16. CAR No. 17-051 (KB & Assoc.)

813 North 24th Street Union Hill Old and Historic District

Project Description: Construct one single family dwelling on a vacant lot.

Staff Contact: M. Pitts

The applicant requests final review for the construction of a single family dwelling on a vacant lot in the Union Hill Old and Historic District.

The residential character of the east side of the subject block consists of 2 story structures in a mix of Greek Revival, Italianate and Late Victorian single family and double houses. The structure to the north of the property is a 3-bay, frame, Italianate home and to the south is a 4-bay, frame, Late Victorian double house. The structures on the west side of the street are primarily 2 to 2 ½ story new single family homes constructed prior to the establishment of the District and a row of three Late Victorian, 2-story, brick houses.

The applicant is proposing to construct a two story, Greek Revival inspired home with a cross gable roof. The proposed structure will be set back 12 feet from the property line and will be approximately 25 ½ feet in width. The dwelling will be set on a low CMU foundation with a brick cladding on the façade. The smooth, unbeaded Hardie siding will be Williamsburg Blue. There will be a 1-story, 1-bay portico at the entrance with square columns and a membrane hipped roof. There will be ranked, 2/2, aluminum clad windows on the façade with flanking, louvered shutters. The front door will be a 4-panel wood door with a transom above. At the rear of the structure, there are French doors to access a rear deck and a single window aligned over a double window. The front gable roof will be clad in black standing seam metal, and the rear gable roof is proposed to be clad in asphalt shingles. The windows and all of the trim unless otherwise noted will be painted or prefinished in white.

The application was conceptually reviewed by the Commission on February 28, 2017, and the Commission was supportive of the proposed design. The Commission recommended that the applicant make the following changes which the applicant has made:

- Include half columns on the building face as a part of the front portico design.
- Vertically align the front pair of windows.

The applicant is seeking **Final Approval** for this project. Commission staff reviewed the two, new buildings through the lens of the "Standards for New

Construction: Residential" on pages 44 and 45 of the Richmond Old and Historic District Handbook and Design Review Guidelines utilizing the checklist below

5=Satisties	b=does not satisfy	NA=not applicable
S D NA I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	New infill construction should respect side yard setback patterns in the surroutructure will have a 12 foot setback which is	nding district
The proposed of	Where the adjoining buildings have diffe setback for the new building should be keep pattern for the block	pased on the historical
rne proposed so	etback is consistent with the historic pattern	of the block.
	New buildings should face the most pro	ominent street bordering
The structure ac	dresses North 24 th Street.	
has modelled th	New construction should use a building that found elsewhere in the district combination of massing, size, so projections and roof shapes that lend idea similar scale to the single family homes of the proposed development on the Greek Reverset by incorporating the roof form, expenses that the control of th	Form refers to the ymmetry, proportions, entity to a building. In the block. The applicant vival double house at 817-
	New construction should incorporate such as cornices, porches and front step project incorporates human-scale elemen orch, and front steps as part of the project.	os.
,,	New construction should respect surrounding buildings hts of the surrounding buildings are 2 stories d close in height to the nearest surrounding	
	New construction should respect the typof bays, vertical alignment and synbuildings. Project maintains the vertical orientation on	nmetry of surrounding

orientation found on the double houses on the block. Though the side elevations do not

			,	ot be visible from the public right of way.
				The size, proportion, and spacing patterns of doors and window openings should be compatible with patterns established in the district.
whic of th wind	h is d e vis lows	cor ible on	siste wir the	vindows on the façade are vertically aligned and symmetrically placed ent with patterns in the district. The applicant has altered the placement adows on the side elevations to be vertically aligned, and the remaining side elevations will not be visible from the public right of way. The rear tically aligned.
				Porch and cornice heights should be compatible with adjacent buildings
	•			cornice heights appear to be compatible though dimensions have not n the context elevation.
				Materials used in new construction should be visually compatible with original materials used throughout the district. Vinyl, asphalt, and aluminum siding are not permitted.

The proposed construction will use fiber cement siding, standing seam metal, aluminum clad wood windows, and wooden shutters and porch railings. Staff finds the materials are compatible with the frame structures found in the district.

- Siding be smooth and unbeaded.
- The windows be true divided lite or simulated divided lite to include interior and exterior muntins and a spacer bar.

Staff recommends approval of the project with conditions. The proposed infill project appears generally to be in keeping with the Standards for New Construction outlined in the Guidelines as the project is largely compatible with the historic features that characterizes its setting and context. The applicant has modified the application to respond to the Commission's limited concerns.

It is the assessment of staff that with the acceptance of the stated conditions the application is consistent with the Standards for New Construction outlined in Section 30.930.7(c) of the City Code, as well as with the *Richmond Old and Historic Districts Handbook and Design Review Guidelines*, specifically the pages cited above, adopted by the Commission for review of Certificates of Appropriateness under the same section of code.