From: jennifer saunders

To: Brown, Whitney H. - City Council Office; Addison, Andreas D. - City Council; Jordan, Katherine - City Council;

Philipsen, Sven J. - City Council; Lambert, Ann-Frances - City Council; Stokes, Kiya A. - City Council; Nye, Kristen M. - City Council; Siverd, Jr, Timmy M. - City Council; Lynch, Stephanie A. - City Council Office; Robins, Amy E. - City Council Office; Robertson, Ellen F. - City Council; tavares.floyd@rva.gov; Newbille, Cynthia I. - City Council; Patterson, Samuel - City Council Office; Trammell, Reva M. - City Council; Bishop, Richard K. - City Council Office; Jones, Nicole - City Council Office; McKenzie, Dominique J. - CNL; Saunders, Lincoln - CAO; City Clerk's

Office

Subject: EV parking in Floyd Alley vote scheduled for Monday

Date: Friday, March 22, 2024 10:30:21 AM

[Some people who received this message don't often get email from jennifersaunders3217@gmail.com. Learn why this is important at https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification]

CAUTION: This message is from an external sender - Do not open attachments or click links unless you recognize the sender's address and know the content is safe.

Update: forwarding this original email to all because Mr Addison's auto reply made me concerned that my email would go without a response prior to Monday's vote. Thank you.

> Hello Mr. Addison,

>

> I live on the 3200 block of Floyd and it has come to my attention that the city council plans to vote to approve the SUP for EV charging and parking in the alley behind Floyd and Auburn. I'm getting in touch to voice my opposition to this approval and also to ask for some clarification regarding the City Council's process in this matter.

>

> It is my estimation that this green space was paved over and the charging stations were installed at least a year ago. We frequently walk in that alley and we were shocked when it occurred. Was it approved at that time? If not, isn't the city council encouraging unauthorized development because it's clear you can build it and then ask for permits later? I think this sets a very bad precedent. In my opinion, this developer should be denied the SUP and required to return the property back to the original state. With the option to then go through the normal channels. Otherwise this is a case of asking forgiveness rather than permission. Could you please reply to this email with clarification on how this occurred?

>

> Additionally, the current paving is not graded correctly so water pools up in any rain and sits for some time. And we've lost very important permeable space which is in short supply in our neighborhood and city. I understand the need for charging stations but I believe there are much better options available to us.

>

> We count on you to represent us and as I'm sure you are aware, the neighbors affected by this lot are all opposed. At the very least, this matter deserves a public hearing.

>

> I look forward to hearing from you as I plan to share my letter and your response with the neighborhood prior to the meeting on Monday. I think we are all interested in the clarification.

>

- > Jennifer Saunders
- > 3217 Floyd