

## Staff Report City of Richmond, Virginia



## Commission of Architectural Review

| 8. COA-128344-2023  | Final Review Meeting Date: 4/25/2023   |  |
|---|--|--|
| Applicant/Petitioner  | Dave Johannas  |  |
| Project Description   | Construct a new rear addition and accessory dwelling unit.   |  |
| Project Location  | 401<br>401<br>401<br>401<br>401<br>401<br>401<br>401   |  |
| Address: 207 W. Franklin St.  |  |  |
| Historic District: Two Hundred Block West<br>Franklin Street  |  |  |
| High-Level Details:   | 5 5 5 7 11 200 • Frankin St. • 5 / Memorial  |  |
| The existing building is a two-and-a-half<br>story, Queen Anne style structure built c.<br>1884.  | Two Hundred Block<br>West Franklin Street<br>205<br>201<br>301<br>4<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5  |  |
| The applicant proposes to add a three<br>story addition off the rear of the building<br>adjacent to the east side elevation of the<br>rear three-story massing.                 |  |  |
| The applicant proposes to add a four story elevator tower to the west side elevation.   |  |  |
| The applicant proposes to add an<br>enclosed porch addition off the rear on the<br>east side of the rear three story massing.   |  |  |
| Applicant proposes ton construct a two story rear accessory dwelling unit.  |  |  |
| Several new openings and alterations to the existing fenestration are proposed.   |  |  |
| The project has received Part II tax credit<br>approval from the Va. Department of<br>Historic Resources.   |  |  |
| Staff notes that the proposed alterations<br>and new construction will not impact the<br>front façade of the building and will be<br>minimally visible form W. Franklin Street. |  |  |
| Staff Contact   | Alex Dandridge, <u>alex.dandridge@rva.gov</u> , 804-646-6569   |  |
| Previous Reviews  | None.  |  |
| Staff Recommendation  | Approval with Conditions   |  |
| Staff Recommendations   | <ul> <li>Final material selections for the additions and carriage house be submitted for administrative review and approval.</li> <li>The western-most dormer window be decreased 6 inches in height to align with the main roof's peak. Revised plans submitted for administrative approval.</li> </ul> |  |

## Staff Analysis

| Guideline<br>Reference | Reference Text  | Analysis  |
|------------------------|---|---|
| s<br>i<br>i            | Additions should be subordinate in<br>size to other main buildings and as<br>inconspicuous as possible. Locating<br>additions at the rear or on the least<br>visible side of a building is preferred. | Three additions to the existing building are being proposed.  |
|                        |   | East Elevation:   |
|                        |   | On the east elevation, two additions are being<br>proposed. An enclosed porch addition and a<br>large three story addition. Both of these<br>additions are located on a rear/side façade<br>and will be minimally visible from W. Franklin<br>Street. |
|                        |   | West Elevation:   |
|                        |   | On the west elevation, a four story elevator<br>shaft is proposed. This addition will be<br>adjacent to a non-original CMU addition and in<br>a corner that is minimally visible from the<br>public right-of-way.                                     |
|                        |   | Staff finds that the proposed additions are<br>subordinate in size to the primary building<br>and are located in the most inconspicuous<br>area of the site.  |
| destroy                | Additions should not obscure or<br>destroy original architectural<br>elements.  | During the conceptual review, the applicant proposed two concepts.  |
|                        |   | Concept 1 proposed to demolish the rear wing of the building and to construct a new addition in its place.  |
|                        |   | Concept 2 proposed to add a small addition<br>to the eastern facade of the existing rear<br>wing, which the applicant noted would be<br>separated by a vertical hyphen.   |
|                        |   | The Commission advised that a design<br>solution that included retaining the rear<br>portion of the building and would not obscure<br>character defining features of the building<br>should be implemented.   |
|                        |   | As submitted for the final review, the<br>proposed additions will not obscure any<br>character defining features. The parapet wall<br>and chimney on the rear of the building will<br>not be impacted.  |
|                        |   | A three story enclosed porch addition will<br>reference the original location of a multi-story<br>porch as indicated by physical evidence and<br>Sanborn maps.  |
|                        |   |   |

| Materials, #2, p. 47   | Materials used in new residential<br>construction should be visually<br>compatible with original materials<br>used throughout the district.   | Proposed materials for the rear carriage house<br>and additions will include modular brick<br>veneer, fiber cement horizontal siding,<br>standing seam metal roofing, wood windows,<br>aluminum clad wood windows, steel, and<br>composite trimStaff finds that all proposed<br>materials are compatible with the primary<br>building and the district.<br><u>Staff recommends that all final material</u><br><u>selections for the additions and carriage</u><br><u>house be submitted for administrative review</u><br><u>and approval.</u>  |
|--|---|--|
| New Construction<br>- Doors and<br>Windows, pg. 49                                     | The size, proportion, and spacing<br>patterns of door and window<br>openings on a new addition should<br>follow patterns established by the<br>original building.<br>The architectural appearance of<br>original windows should be used as<br>models for new windows.   | Additions:<br>The eastern addition will feature vertically<br>aligned single and grouped 1/1 aluminum clad<br>wood windows and wooden doors.<br>The enclosed porch addition on the eastern<br>side of the building will have wooden single<br>pane windows and wooden doors.<br>The existing building's windows have divided<br>lights. Staff finds that using 1/1 and single pane<br>windows on the additions further differentiate<br>the new construction from the original portion<br>of the building.<br><b>Carriage house:</b><br>The carriage house will have composite 1/1<br>windows. While composite windows have not<br>frequently been approved by the Commission,<br>Staff finds their use in this application on a<br>new construction at the rear of the property<br>to be permissible. |
| New Construction<br>- Form, pg. 46 #3  | New residential construction and<br>additions should incorporate human-<br>scale elements such as cornices,<br>porches and front steps into their<br>design.  | The new additions onto the building will<br>incorporate canopies with standing seam<br>metal roofs over the exterior doors. Other<br>pedestrian scale elements will include<br>windows, porches, and patios.   |
| Building Elements,<br>Window<br>Replacement<br>and/or<br>Reconstruction, #8,<br>pg. 69 | The number, location, size or glazing<br>pattern of windows should not be<br>changed by cutting new openings,<br>blocking out windows or by installing<br>replacement sash that do not fit the<br>original window. Changes to existing<br>windows or the addition of new<br>windows along a secondary elevation<br>will be considered by the Commission<br>on a case-by-case basis. | There are three new masonry openings<br>proposed for the western elevation. Staff is<br>supportive of these new openings, as they will<br>be minimally visible form the public right-of-<br>way.<br>There is a new shed dormer window proposed<br>for the rear of the third floor roof. Staff is<br>supportive of this alteration because it is<br>located in a location that is minimally visible<br>and will have a roof form that differentiates it<br>from the existing dormers on the building.<br>Furthermore, it will not be an actual window,<br>but rather a connection between the front and<br>rear mass of the building.   |

| New Construction<br>- Residential<br>Outbuildings, pg.<br>51 #1-2 | Outbuildings, including garages,<br>sheds, gazebos and other auxiliary<br>structures, should be compatible with<br>the design of the primary building on<br>the site, including roof slope and<br>materials selection.<br>Newly constructed outbuildings such<br>as detached garages or tool sheds<br>should respect the siting, massing,<br>roof profiles, materials and colors of<br>existing outbuildings in the<br>neighborhood. | The proposed rear carriage house will be large<br>and span most of the width of the lot. It will<br>be clad in brick relating it to the primary<br>building and will also feature a standing seam<br>metal roof. The roof form will be an A-frame<br>roof with three dormer windows facing the<br>alley. The two eastern-most dormer windows<br>will be identical, whereas the western-most<br>dormer window will be wider and taller than<br>the height of the main roof line. The gable roof<br>form is not a common form found on<br>outbuildings in the district, however there are<br>some examples that are outliers and are<br>referenced in the applicant's design.<br>The north elevation will be minimally visible,<br>facing the interior of the yard.<br>Staff finds that the design of the visible<br>elevation of the carriage house is generally in-<br>keeping with other outbuildings in the district.<br>However, staff recommends that the western-<br>most dormer window be decreased 6 inches<br>in height to align with the main roof's peak. |
|---|--|---|
| New Construction<br>- Residential<br>Outbuildings, pg.<br>51 #3   | New outbuildings should be smaller<br>than the main residence and be<br>located to the rear and/or side of the<br>property to emphasize that they are<br>secondary structures.   | The proposed carriage house is quite large;<br>however, it will be smaller than the primary<br>building. Being located at the rear of the<br>primary building, the carriage house will read<br>as a secondary structure.  |

## Figures



Figure 1. Front façade, view from Franklin Street



Figure 2. Rear façade, view from rear alley





Figure 3. Example of outbuilding on W. Franklin St. Figure 4. Example of outbuilding in nearby alley



Figure 5. Baiste Atlas (1889)