C Masterson <masterson2274@comcast.net>

Follow Up: REQUEST - St. Christopher SUP Application

To Ebinger, Matthew J. - PDR <matthew.ebinger@richmondgov.com> Copy Carolyn <masterson2274@comcast.net>

Hi Matthew,

Checking in to ask, when can I expect a response?

Regards,

On 10/22/2021 4:58 PM Ebinger, Matthew J. - PDR < matthew.ebinger@richmondgov.com > wrote:

Good Afternoon:

We can pull together information for you and provide to you early next week.

Thank You,

Matthew J. Ebinger, AICP

Principal Planner - Land Use Administration |Department of Planning & Development Review | City of Richmond | <u>Matthew.Ebinger@RVA.gov</u> | 804-646-6308

From: C Masterson [mailto:masterson2274@comcast.net]
Sent: Friday, October 22, 2021 4:53 PM
To: Ebinger, Matthew J. - PDR <<u>Matthew.Ebinger@Richmondgov.com</u>
Subject: REQUEST - St. Christopher SUP Application

CAUTION: This message is from an external sender - Do not open attachments or click links unless you recognize the sender's address and know the content is safe.

REQUEST: Please respond to confirm receipt of this email

Hi Matthew,

Happy Friday! Circling back regarding my email of October 18, 2021 and the negative impact on the St. Christopher's Special Use Permit Application - 729 St. Christopher's Road and to ask when I can expect a response as to the questions in the letter, so I can provide an update to folks?

Not being familiar with the process, can you email me a copy of the City's written comments to the Saint Christopher's SUP? Instructions for the SUP state comments generally go out 30 days after receipt, which is tomorrow. Also helpful would be the City agencies and reviewer/approve names, can you provide that information? If not, can you please forward this request to the appropriate individuals and copy me? Any additional information you can share on the process and timeline would be much appreciated. It has been very challenging to navigate the process and St. Christopher's has not been forth coming with accurate information, so thanks in advance for any help your provide so I can share with everyone.

City agencies listed in the review and approval process for SUP appear to be somewhat limited. Can you identify which agencies are responsible for reviewing and approving that the medical/scientific issues related to noise, light, and RF waves will not have a negative impact on athletes, spectators, and residents; and which agencies have the engineers that will measure and ensure the light/noise recommended minimum will be met? For example, what City agency will measure, and confirm proposed lighting will not exceed LEED standard recommended 1/100th foot candle beyond 10 feet beyond the property boundary (especially related to a homes 2nd-floor)? For noise, the EPA recommends no more than 5DB. It would be very helpful to have a contact in the City to speak with and get their input and expertise on the areas of concern... and not have to bother you.

By a random coincidence, last night the TV was on and in a half-hour newscast there were three news segments related to teens - young man killed due to distracted driving at 11 PM; two on adolescent mental health. This after reading Richmond City Schools are giving students extra time off for mental health. Earlier this month VSU and University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill giving time off for students mental health.

National Alliance on Mental Illness (NAMI) has stated it is important to prioritize mental health. I don't want to inundate subject matter expert's (SME) with articles that they are already aware of, but do want to make sure non-SME's in the City's agencies reviewing this SUP, are aware of the issues outlined in my earlier email and again in this email... are very real and critical to our community.