

Commission of Architectural Review SUBMISSION APPLICATION

City of Richmond, Room 510 - City Hall 900 East Broad Street, Richmond, Virginia 23219 PHONE: (804) 646-6335 FAX: (804) 646-5789

		QUIRED FOR PROCESSING YOUR SUBMISSION
LOCATION OF WORK: 512 W 20th street		DATE: 05/29/15
OWNER'S NAME: Chris Keck		TEL NO.: 804-316-1793
AND ADDRESS: 512 W 20th stre	ot	EMAIL: chrisakeck@gmail.com
CITY, STATE AND ZIPCODE: Richmo	ond, VA 23225	
ARCHITECT/CONTRACTOR'S NAME:	N/A	TEL. NO.:
AND ADDRESS:		
CITY, STATE AND ZIPCODE:		
Would you like to receive your staff report	t via email? Yes	No
REQUEST FOR CONCEPTUA	AL REVIEW	
Richmond City Code for the propos understand that conceptual review is a	sal outlined below in	Chapter 114, Article IX, Division 4, Section 114-930.6(d) of th accordance with materials accompanying this application.
APPLICATION FOR CERTIFIC	CATE OF APPI	ROPRIATENESS
I hereby make application for the issua Historic Districts) of the Richmond Citaccompanying this application.	ance of a certificate und ty Code for the propos	der the provisions of Chapter 114, Article IX, Division 4 (Old an sal outlined below in accordance with plans and specification
PROPOSED. (Include additional shee	IEW GUIDELINE ets of description if ne	OSED WORK (Required): ES INFORM THE DESIGN OF THE WORK ecessary, and 12 copies of artwork helpful in describing ing reviewed for an administrative approval. See
me, smooth siding was not readily avenighborhood that has cementitious: "the applicant proposes to replace all minor upgrade was of much significant	d to use smooth sid /ailable. That coup siding is in fact bea uminum siding with ince.	d with Hardie-plank to bring the home closer to its ding in the application. However, unbeknownst to pled with the fact that every house in the aded. Also my approval letter specifically states in cementitious lap siding". So I didn't think the ere removed due to extensive rot. The railings
Signature of Owner or		
Name of Owner or Authorized Ag	ent (please print legibly	y): Chris Keck
(Space below for staff use only)		
Received by Commission Secretary	4:03pm	APPLICATION NO.
DATERECEIVED	-1.00	SCHEDULED FOR
Note: CAR reviews all apprications on	a case-by-case bas	

Application Clarification

Siding-The aluminum siding was removed and replaced with Hardie-plank to bring the home closer to its original state. I did mention I wished to use smooth siding in the application. However, unbeknownst to me, smooth siding was not readily available. That coupled with the fact that every house in the neighborhood that has cementitious siding is in fact beaded. Also my approval letter specifically states "the applicant proposes to replace aluminum siding with cementitious lap siding". So I didn't think the minor upgrade was of much significance.

Porch railing- As earlier discussed the porch railings were removed due to extensive rot. The railings were not providing security or aesthetics at that point in time. After researching many homes from within the timeframe it was not uncommon to see these homes without railings. However, I will install a railing to accommodate compliance if necessary.

Front Door-The front door was specifically chosen to match a craftsmen/bungalow style home of the era. This was an immense improvement from the previous door that included a doggy door. I moved an interior door outside that was glued together for a temporary fix. I know the top lite leaded glass was a concern in the last meeting. If removing the glass would be an improvement in the eyes of the board I would be willing to replace it.