

Staff Report City of Richmond, Virginia



Commission of Architectural Review

2. COA-147348-2024	Final Review	Meeting Date: 8/27/2024
Applicant/Petitioner	James Catts	
Project Description	Construct a new two-story building.	
Project Location	4010 4008 4008 4008 4008	
Address: 4002 Hermitage Road		
Historic District: Hermitage Road	40%	1500 1528 1520
High-Level Details:	1711 1711 4004	1629 1627 1625
The applicant proposes to construct a new two-story garage in the rear side yard of an 1870s Queen Anne Style building.	3907 Hermitage 5901 4002 4004 4002 4004 4002 4004 4002 4004 4006 4006 5007 6	
The combined two floors of the garage will be approximately 2,200 square feet.	4005 4006 4000 3887 1628 1629 1619 1617 1618 1613 1614 1619 1617 1618 1613 1614 1619 1617 1618 1613 1614 1619 1617 1618 1613 1614 1619 1617 1618 1613 1614 1619 1617 1618 1613 1614 1619 1617 1618 1613 1614 1619 1617 1618 1613 1614 1619 1617 1618 1613 1614 1619 1617 1618 1613 1614 1619 1617 1618 1613 1614 1619 1617 1618 1613 1614 1619 1617 1618 1613 1614 1619 1617 1618 1618 1618 1618 1618 1618 1618	
The garage will be approximately 25'8" tall, 28' wide, and 51' long.	3510 3510 3507 3905	1600
This project will require a Special Use Permit to allow for the proposed height.	3913 3949 3906 0 0,01 0,03 3817 0,05 0,08 0.1 Mile	35
Staff Recommendation	Approval, with Conditions	
Staff Contact	Alex Dandridge, (804) 646-6569, alex.dand	dridge@rva.gov
Previous Reviews	This application was reviewed at the May 2 Commission of Architectural Review. The Capplication to allow the applicant to revise a Commission had concerns with the height and its overall design. The Commission for similar to the design of the primary building the budling should be further subordinate to was stated that the design should better resecondary buildings in the district.	Commission deferred the the plans. Specifically, the of the proposed building and the building tall and too g on the site and felt that o the primary building. It
	This application was conceptually reviewed meeting of the Commission of Architectura Commissioners agreed that the placement appropriate, there was some conversation the primary building. Overall, the Commiss building was quite large and read as a secrather than being subordinate to the primary of the architectural features of the primary and hipped roof with cross gable was deter The applicant was asked to revise the plan derived from the existing carriage house at	Il Review. While most of the new building was around placing it behind sion believed that the new ond house on the site ry building. Copying some budling like the flared base rmined to be inappropriate.

Staff Recommendations

- Staff recommends approval of the project contingent on the final height of 25 feet being permitted by the Special Use Permit.
- Final window, door, roofing, siding, and gutter specifications be submitted for administrative review and approval.

Surrounding Context:

4002 Hermitage Road is located within the Hermitage Road City Old and Historic District as well as the Hermitage Road National Register Historic District. The subject parcel is zoned R-1, residential single-family and is just over one acre (1.1364 acres). The site consists of a primary, frame, two-story, Queen Anne Style building that was constructed ca. 1870 and a secondary 1½ story rear carriage house. There appears to be additional smaller auxiliary structures towards the rear alley. On the west side of Hermitage (location of 4002 Hermitage), the block features estate-style, single family dwellings with large front yards, landscaping, and driveways. On the east side of Hermitage Road there are more modestly sized single-family dwellings with smaller front yards and shallower side yard setbacks, which give this side a "denser" appearance. The dwellings on east side of the street are staggered with the curve of the road. There is a religious institution on the west side of the block as well as attached town houses. Most dwellings in the immediate area are two and two and a half stories in height.

Staff Analysis

Guideline Reference	Reference Text	Analysis
Standards for New Construction, Siting, pg. 46	2. New residential infill construction should respect the prevailing front and side yard setback patterns of the surrounding block. The minimum setbacks evident in most districts reinforce the traditional street wall.	The Hermitage Road City Old and Historic District is characterized by estate-style buildings with large front yards and gardens. The proposed garage will be set back onto the lot farther than the primary building. Based on the site plan, the proposed garage will be set back quite far from the street and be towards the side rear of the primary building, a location that suggest subordinance to the original building.
Standards for New Construction, Materials & Colors, pg. 47	2. Materials used in new residential construction should be visually compatible with original materials used throughout the district. 5. Rooftop mechanical equipment should be located as discretely as possible to limit visibility. In addition, appropriate screening should be provided to conceal equipment from view. When rooftop railings are required for seating areas or for safe access to mechanical equipment, the railings should be as unobtrusive as possible, in order to minimize their appearance and visual impact on the surrounding district.	The new garage will use materials that are compatible with the primary building and with the context of the district. It will be clad in wood siding and will have a brick foundation. The roof will be slate or faux slate. The columns, doors, and windows will be wooden and painted/stained to match the primary building. Staff recommends that exterior HVAC equipment be in an area that is minimally visible from the public right-of-way and screened from view; final location shown on a site plan.
New Construction, Windows & Doors, pg. 49	3. The size, proportion, and spacing patterns of doors and window openings on free standing, new construction	The primary building features a variety of window types, including one-over-one, two-over-two, and margin light windows. During the last review, the proposed garage featured a variety of windows of varying sizes and designs. The

should be compatible with patterns applicant has revised the fenestration to only include twoestablished within the district. over-two windows. The windows are arranged as singles, pairs, and groups of three, and are consistent in size. There are two smaller square windows, one on the Hermitage Road elevation, and one on the north elevation. 1. Outbuildings, including garages, The primary building on site is a two-story, three-bay. New sheds, gazebos and other auxiliary simple Queen Anne building with a complex plan ca. Construction. 1870. It is clad in dutch-cut lap siding and staggered Residential structures, should be compatible with Outbuildings, the design of the primary building on wood shingles. It has a complex, slate roof with five pg. 50 the site, including roof slope and materials selection. single-story entry porch with balustrades and a pedimented shed roof supported by slender, squared columns. It sits on a deep rectangular lot with a deep 2. Newly constructed outbuildings such as detached garages or tool sheds should respect the siting, massing, roof profiles, materials and colors of existing outbuildings in the neighborhood. a flared base.

3. New outbuildings should be smaller than the main residence and be located to the rear and/or side of the property to emphasize that they are secondary structures.

interior chimneys. Stylistic elements include a full-width, setback and an asphalt driveway. The first story is clad in horizontal siding, and the second story is clad in wooden shingles and is separated from the first floor visually with

The proposed garage will be one-and-a-half stories with a gable roof and a large, shed dormer on the north and south elevations. The east elevation facing Hermitage Road will have a projecting bay on the first story, and the west elevation facing the alley will have a projection with a shorter gable roof. The design has been simplified so that it doesn't mimic the primary building. Staff believes that the revised design reads as a separate, more simplified design that is compatible yet subordinate to the primary building.

During the last review, the Commission suggested that the new garage should be further differentiated from the primary building on site by better referencing the design of the existing carriage house more so than the primary building.

According to the site plan, the proposed garage will be located towards the rear side yard and will be set back further on the lot than the primary building. The building will be oriented so that the "long side" featuring the garage doors will face the northside of the primary building and the asphalt driveway.

The garage is still quite large; however, the overall height has decreased from 28 feet to 25 feet which is lower than the max roof height of the primary building.

A Special Use Permit will be required to allow for the proposed height, which is greater than the 20 feet allowed by-right. While still taller than other accessory buildings in the district, Staff believes that the decrease in height, simplified design and deep setback of the proposed building will visually subordinate it from the primary building and it will read as a secondary structure.

Staff recommends approval of the project contingent on the final height of 25 feet being permitted by the Special Use Permit.

It is the assessment of staff that, with the conditions above, the application is consistent with the Standards for Rehabilitation and New Construction outlined in Section 30-930.7 (b) and (c) of the City Code, as well as with the Richmond Old and Historic Districts Handbook and Design Review Guidelines, specifically the pages cited above, adopted by the Commission for review of Certificates of Appropriateness under the same section of the code.

Figures

Figure 1. 4002 Hermitage, 2023. View looking west along driveway and side yard.



Figure 2. 4002 Existing Rear Carriage House, 2021. Hipped roof with through-the-eave dormer and cupola.



Figure 3. Footprint of existing building.

