COMMISSION OF ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW STAFF REPORT December 19, 2017 Meeting

9. COA-027041-2017 (C. White)

2200-2202 M Street Union Hill Old and Historic District

Project Description: Construct a duplex.

Staff Contact: M. Pitts

The applicant requests approval to construct two attached single family dwellings in the Union Hill Old and Historic District. The proposed structure will be located on a vacant corner lot at the intersection of North 22nd Street and M Street.

The subject lot is adjacent to a 2-story duplex constructed prior to the establishment of the district in 2007. Adjacent to the new construction are a group of Late Victorian 2-story frame homes with projecting square bays. The double house at 2210 - 2212 M Street has a false mansard roof and each half has a rectangular 2-story 3-sided projecting bay. The structure immediately across M Street is a 2-story frame Italianate building oriented to North 22nd Street. The adjacent blocks are primarily developed with 2-story, 3-bay Italianate and Greek Revival dwellings.

The proposed new construction will be a two-story, frame, Late Victorian-inspired structure with a front stoop and a false mansard primary roof clad in architectural shingles. The structure will be 28'-5" at the peak and will be 37'-5" wide. The façade of each single family dwelling has a two-story, three-sided projecting bay with a conical roof and an additional bay with a 2nd story window aligned with the half-light front door. The side elevations contain 2/2 windows whose head heights align. The structure will be clad in fiber cement siding. The applicant is proposing a small deck at the rear of each structure which is accessible by pairs of double doors.

The applicant is seeking **Conceptual Review** for this project. Conceptual review is covered under Sec. 30-930.6(d) of the City Code: The commission shall review and discuss the proposal with the applicant and make any necessary recommendations. Such Conceptual Review shall be advisory only. Commission staff reviewed the project through the lens of the "Standards for New Construction: Residential" on pages 46-51 of the *Richmond Old and Historic District Handbook and Design Review Guidelines* utilizing the checklist below.

S=satisfies	D=does not satisfy	NA=not applicable
side ya	Ifill construction should respect to the surrour or the surrour ovided a context site plan.	
☐ ☐ Where setbac pattern	the adjoining buildings have differ k for the new building should be building the block he block was the projecting bay of the	ased on the historical
aligned with the front bui	lding wall of the adjacent house to thouble houses at 2200-2202 M Street demolished. The applicant has not properties and the second properties and the second properties are the second properties.	e west. This was the and 2204-2206 M Street
50'	1905 Sanborn Map	21' Z
⊠ □ □ New bu	uildings should face the most pro	minent street bordering
The structure addresses project is located on a presence of windows or	s M Street which is the primary street corner lot and interacts with North the side elevation. Staff encourage led by the Commission's Guidelines	22 nd Street through the es additional architectural
⊠	onstruction should use a building	g form compatible with

projections and roof shapes that lend identity to a building.

The proposed building form is compatible with the buildings in the district and the subject block. The applicant has incorporated elements that characterize the subject block including the false mansard roof and the projecting bay. Staff recommends the height of the false mansard be similar to the false mansard roofs on the block. Staff has concerns that the proposed conical roof of the projecting bay is not characteristic

combination of massing, size, symmetry,

Form refers to the

proportions,

that found elsewhere in the district.

projections, and the projecting bay on the demolished home at the property was a modest three-sided projecting bay with a flat roof. Staff recommends the applicant consider simplifying the proposed projecting bays' design to include an alternate roof design. As front porches including smaller porticos in the district typically have roofs, staff recommends a roof be added to the proposed front stoop.
New construction should incorporate human-scale elements such as cornices, porches and front steps.
The proposed project incorporates human-scale elements including a cornice, front stoops, and front steps as part of the project. The Guidelines encourage human scale elements be included on the secondary, corner elevation for corner properties. The Commission may wish to consider if additional architectural elements should be incorporated into the North 22 nd Street elevation of the structure.
New construction should respect the typical height of surrounding buildings
The typical height of the surrounding buildings is 2 to 2 ½ stories. The proposed 2 story structure is in keeping with the typical height of the surrounding buildings.
New construction should respect the typical width, organization of bays, vertical alignment and symmetry of surrounding buildings. The proposed project does maintain the vertical alignment and the symmetry of the surrounding buildings. Though the proposed structure is approximately 5 feet wider than the adjacent structure, the proposed width respects the typical width of double houses found in the district and is a similar width to the historic home at 2210 -2212 M Street.
The size, proportion, and spacing patterns of doors and window openings should be compatible with patterns established in the district.
The proposed windows on the façade are vertically aligned and symmetrically placed which is consistent with patterns in the district. As the Commission's Guidelines for Corner Properties note that windows and doors on the corner elevations should be organized following the principals of the primary elevation to include being aligned vertically, staff recommends the windows on the North 22 nd Street Elevation be vertically aligned.
☐ ☐ Porch and cornice heights should be compatible with adjacent buildings
As a dimensioned context elevation has not been provided, staff is unable to determine the compatibility of the porch and cornice heights with the adjacent structure. The Commission's Guidelines for Corner Properties note that particular attention should be paid to the height of foundations to create an appropriately scaled appearance that relates to neighboring structures and is consistent with neighboring

from	the	ped		neignts experi			•					•		,
			cor	terials npatible yl, aspl	e with	origi	inal m	ateria	ls used	d throu	ughou	it the		_
The	prop	osed	d const	ruction	utilizes	fibe	r ceme	ent sid	ing and	d trim;	compo	osite v	vindo	ws,
arch	itectı	ural	shingle	s, stee	el railin	gs, a	and a	brick	founda	ation.	The	matei	rials	are

man article and that beingte about he have to a level that will ambance and detrect

The following items will need to be included for final review (please refer to the Commission's New Construction Checklist and Required Dimensions document for additional details):

- 1. Fully dimensioned elevations
- 2. Roof plan
- 3. List of windows and doors to include size, material, and design
- 4. Description of all materials (attach specification sheets if necessary)
- 5. Site plan to include parking, trash, and mechanical equipment locations
- 6. Dimensioned context elevation and site plans.

compatible with frame structures found in the district.

7. Statement of how the *Richmond Old and Historic District Handbook and Design Review Guidelines* informed the proposed work.