

Property (location of work) Property Address: 620 N Arthur Ashe Blvd Historic District: Boulevard/Museum District

Application is submitted for: (check one)

- Alteration
- Demolition
- New Construction

Project Description (attach additional sheets if needed): Windows and storm door to be replaced by Renewal by Andersen

Commission of Architectural Review Certificate of Appropriateness Application 900 E. Broad Street, Room 510 Richmond, VA 23219 804-646-6569

Current Zoning: R-48

Applicant/Contact Person: John Gobel / Pamela Walker				
Company: Renewal by Andersen				
Mailing Address: 3895 Deep Rock Rd				
City: Richmond	_State: _	VA	_ Zip Code: _	23233
Telephone: ( 804 ) 353-6621	_			
Email: jgobel@richmondwindow.com pwalker@richmond	window.	com		
Billing Contact? No 🔽 Applicant Type (owner, architect, etc.): Co	ntractor		-	
Property Owner: Cynthia Woodmansee				
If Business Entity, name and title of authorized signee:				
Mailing Address: 602 N Arthur Ashe Blvd				00000
City: Richmond	State: _	VA	_ Zip Code: _	23220
Telephone: (423) 863-4306				
Email: cynthiawoodmansee@yahoo.com				
Billing Contact? Yes				
**Owner must sign at the bottom of this page**				

### Acknowledgement of Responsibility

**Compliance**: If granted, you agree to comply with all conditions of the certificate of appropriateness (COA). Revisions to approved work require staff review and may require a new application and approval from the Commission of Architectural Review (CAR). Failure to comply with the conditions of the COA may result in project delays or legal action. The COA is valid for one (1) year and may be extended for an additional year, upon written request and payment of associated fee.

**Requirements**: A complete application includes all applicable information requested on checklists available on the CAR website to provide a complete and accurate description of existing and proposed conditions, as well as payments of the application fee. Applications proposing major new construction, including additions, should meet with staff to review the application and requirements prior to submitting. Owner contact information and signature is required. Late or incomplete applications will not be considered.

Zoning Requirements: Prior to Commission review, it is the responsibility of the applicant to determine if zoning approval is required. Application materials should be prepared in compliance with zoning.

Property Owner Signature: Upplin P. Woodmansee Date: June 27, 2025

COA Application | Revised March 2023 | City of Richmond

### City of Richmond, Virginia

### **Commission of Architectural Review**

David A. Woodmansee, Cynthia P. Woodmansee, Owners and Applicants Property: 620 N Arthur Ashe Boulevard

Parcel ID # W0001250003

### Memorandum in Support of Application for Certificate of Appropriateness to Replace Windows and Storm Door at 620 N Arthur Ashe Boulevard, Richmond, Virginia

The above referenced owners/applicants, David and Cynthia Woodmansee (the "Applicants") are the owners of record of 620 N Arthur Ashe Boulevard, Richmond, Virginia (the "Property") which sites a contributing structure within the Boulevard Historic District of Richmond, Virginia. The Applicants have appropriate standing to make an Application for Certificate of Appropriateness and this Memorandum in support thereof as it applies to the Property.

The Property's contributing structure is a 2+ story colonial revival house built in 1914. Like many structures in the Boulevard Historic District, the house's brick construction has maintained its integrity but some of the wood elements are ready for replacement in order to insure the future health and livability of the structure. To that end, the Applicants are proposing the replacement of the third-floor windows and a first story storm door located on the north side of the house. The Applicant's project contemplates the replacement of eleven (11) windows of which only eight (8) are visible in the public right of way. The storm door is not visible in the public right of way.

Currently, the north facing storm door is a lightweight aluminum storm door, possibly dating from the 1960's. It is in poor condition and provides little to no protection to the house and the exterior door it is intended to shield.

The third-floor windows are of unknown age. They are single pane glass in wood construction. None of them are operational for varying reasons. Their rope and weight systems have deteriorated, some are swollen shut, one does not close completely, some have shrunk causing significant gaps and energy loss, several have cracked panes. Their design and materials no longer provide adequate protection for the structure from the outdoor elements such as rain, wind, and sun exposure and they do not provide a point of egress from the third floor due to operational failure. The failing windows contribute greatly to the energy loss on the third floor making it difficult to control the interior temperature. And finally, the failing windows offer little insulation from the street noise which has increased significantly over time as the City of Richmond has grown.

The Applicants would like to replace the third-floor windows and north facing storm door to restore the safety, security, and weather protection the house deserves. Replacing the windows and door are also essential to improving the energy efficiency and barrier from the ever-increasing street noise. The Applicants are extremely sensitive to the requirements of the historic district within which their structure resides and have proposed a replacement solution that meets the standards and guidelines of both the Secretary of the Department of Interior and the City of Richmond Historic Districts Guidelines.

To provide members of the Commission of Architectural Review with adequate detail to deliberate the Applicants' application, the following is a description of the materials and rationale being employed for this project:

**Project Name:** 620 N Arthur Ashe Boulevard Window/Storm Door Replacement

### Contractor: Renewal by Anderson

Renewal by Anderson was chosen because of their years of experience with historic renovation and restoration. Their expertise is evidenced by the excellent work product on many historic properties in the City of Richmond. Their product is of superior quality and matches the overall integrity of the structure. Their ability to provide custom windows that match the existing windows design is essential to this project. Additionally, the windows that have been replaced on the first and second floors by previous owners are Renewal by Anderson making this the most logical choice to maintain consistency throughout the structure.

### **Materials**

**Door:** The Applicants have chosen a single panel, half-lite storm door from Anderson's Pro-Via Collection. This door is single hung with clear glass and bright brass hardware. The color will be black to match the exterior door it is protecting. The manufacturer specs are as follows:



**Windows:** The windows for this project will be custom constructed to match the architectural design of the existing windows. They will be constructed from Anderson's patented composite material registered under the trade name Fibrex. The windows will match the existing windows in depth of reveal, muntin configurations, color of glazing , and appearance of the frame and sash. The lite configuration will remain the same and there will be true or simulated divided lites with interior and exterior muntins and spacer bars between the glass. The glass will be clear. A copy of the manufacturer's material spec sheet along with examples of the manufacturer's window profiles can be found below (please note, the actual windows will be custom made to match the existing windows' architectural features).

Material Spec Sheet:





### A SUPERIOR COMPOSITE

(m

13

At a time when more and more industries are looking to alternative building materials, Andersen Corporation introduces Fibrex,® a revolutionary structural material composite technology that blends the very best attributes of thermoplastics and bio-fibers. Durable and versatile, you can count on Fibrex® material for strength, appearance and performance -in a wide variety of applications. Already in use for ten years in many Andersen® products, it performs extremely well in all weather and environmental conditions. Best of all, Fibrex® technology can utilize "reclaimed" wood fiber and vinyl from post production processes, helping to save on natural resources. Fibrex® is a strong cost-to-benefit option for your product needs.



		Stiffness
STIFFNESS	Modulus is the scientific term for a material's stiffness. The higher the number, the stiffer	2 1,200,000
	the material. The average modulus for Fibrex® is over twice the average for vinyl, making it	900,000
	a far more stable and rigid material. And though wood's average stiffness is higher, it	A 400,000 530,000 1,200,000 250,000
	is far less predictable than Fibrex® since wood	Fibrex" Vileyi Pise Typical PE/Weed
	has natural variations such as grain, knots and moisture content.	
_		Coefficient of Thermal Expansion (CTE)
THERMAL EXPANSION	Thermal expansion is the degree to which a	
EXPANSION	given material expands and contracts with changes in temperature. Pine has a very low	⊈ 20
	thermal expansion rate. With a rate of 1.6, Fibrex <sup>®</sup> like aluminum, expands and contracts	1.0 0.0 Fibres" Vieyl Pies Atasias Typical
	very little. Vinyl, with a thermal expansion rate	Fibres" Vinyi Pine Alaminum Tyyled PEWood
	of 4.0, expands and contracts at a rate twice that of Fibrex <sup>®</sup> resulting in bowing and	
	cracking over time.	Thermal Conductivity
-		4.60 ÷ 0.65
		0.15
	ratio. Its insulating properties can be put on par with pine or vinyl. Unlike aluminum, Fibrex®	0.19 0.0 Fibres" Vist Piss Atrains
	resists the transfer of heat or cold.	
_		Decay Resistance
DECAY RESISTANCE	The special polymer formulations in Fibrex <sup>®</sup> surround, coat and fill the cell structure	50
	of each wood fiber in the manufacturing process, ensuring unsurpassed resistance	
	to rot.	
_		0   Fibrex* Visyl Pise Babrated Pise
HEAT	High temperatures can result in distortion.	Heat Distortion Temperature
RESISTANCE	At high temperatures, vinyl can bow or sag. In tests, Fibrex <sup>®</sup> remains rigid and stable to	
	a temperature of 93°C (199°F).	± 225°
		Fares" Visyi Projest
_		TUNNER
<b>FIBREX</b> ®		



### **Fibrex® Properties**

The design versatility of Fibrex® material is enhanced by its exceptional physical properties. Parts made from Fibrex have a high flexural and tensile modulus, low moisture absorption, a higher heat distortion temperature than rigid PVC and a low coefficient of thermal expansion.

Fibrex® material can be extruded or injection molded into functional components. Extruded profiles can be solid or hollow, machined and joined using mechanical fastening, thermal welding and vibratory weld tacking. Profiles can be extruded with a weatherable capstock, enabling color options and color fastness and allowing the surface appearance to be optimized for a specific application.

Fibrex has been found to be an exceptional material when used for siding, decking, railing, fencing, molding, trim, furniture and packaging, to name a few.



Fibrex<sup>®</sup> decking



Fibrex<sup>®</sup> window sill



Fibrex® extrusion

### **FIBREX**®

### Fibrex® Mechanical Properties (Typical)

Measurement	ASTM	Units	Material Value
Tensile Modulus, 77° (25°C)	D3039	psi (MPa)	
Extrusion direction			950,000 (6,550)
Cross-extrusion direction			750,000 (5,200)
Tensile Yield Strength, 77° (25°C)	D3039	psi (MPa)	3,000 (21)
Tensile Strength (UTS), 77° (25°C) Extrusion direction Cross-extrusion direction	D3039	psi (MPa)	5,500 (38) 3,800 (26)
Tensile Strain at Failure, 77° (25°C) Extrusion direction Cross-extrusion direction	D3039	%	1.3 0.9
Poisson's Ratio	D630	—	0.342 @ 70°F 0.236 @ 160°F
Flexural Modulus, 77° (25°C)	D790	psi (MPa)	830,000 (5,700)
Compressive Modulus, 77° (25°C)	D695	psi (MPa)	571,000 (3,900)
Modulus of Rupture, 77° (25°C)	D790	psi (MPa)	10,000 (69)
Maximum Flexural Strain (Emax)	D790	%	1.7
Impact Strength, Gardner, 77° (25°C) 0.1" sample thickness	D3029	inch • lbs (J)	5.0 (0.56)
Izod Notched Impact, 77° (25°C)	D256	inch • lbs/inch (J/m)	7.0 (28)
Maximum Allowable Dynamic Stress	*	psi (MPa)	16,000 (110)
Extrusion Shrinkage	D3679	%	0.2
Specific Gravity	D792	_	1.4
Hardness, Rockwell "L", 77° (25°C)	D785	—	92
Hardness, Rockwell "M", 77° (25°C)	D785	-	66
Static Coefficient of Friction vs. Neolite std. Extrusion direction	F1679-96	—	0.60 wet 0.85 dry

### Fibrex<sup>®</sup> Environmental Properties

Measurement	ASTM	Units	Material Value
Moisture Absorption	D570-84	%	0.9
Termite Resistance C. formosanus R. flavipes	—	Weight Loss (g)	0 0
Fungal Decay	D1413		None
Moisture Expansion	D1037	inch/inch/%∆MC*	1.14x10 <sup>-3</sup>

### **Fibrex® Thermal Properties**

Measurement	ASTM	Units	Material Value
Heat Deflection Temperature, 264psi (1.82 MPa) 66 psi (0.46 MPa)	D648	°F (°C)	173 (78) 221 (105)
Coefficient of Thermal Expansion	D696	inch/inch/°F (m/m/°C)	1.6x10 <sup>-5</sup> (2.9x10 <sup>-5</sup> )
Thermal Conductivity	F433	Btu/hr•ft•°F (W/m•K)	0.1 (0.17)
Specific Heat	—	Btu/lb•°F (J/kg•K)	0.4 (1674)
Flash Ignition Temperature	D1929	°F (°C)	644 (340)
Self Ignition Temperature	D1929	°F (°C)	716 (380)
Flame Spread Index	E84-94		10
Smoke Developed Index	E84-94		580
Average Flame Spread Index	E162-94		22.73
Average Optical Density of Smoke Flaming mode Non-flaming mode	E662-94		472.32 439.24
Average Time of Burn	D635-91	Sec.	<5
Average Extent of Burn	D635-91	mm	<5
Final Oxygen Index	D2863	%volume	31.3



Fibrex<sup>®</sup> Material Advantage Special polymer formulations surround and fill each wood fiber — ensuring top performance.





Polyethylene Wood-Fiber Composite

### **Fibrex® Patents**

5,205,102	6,210,792	5,985,429	5,827,607	5,486,553
6,357,197	6,122,877	5,981,067	5,773,138	5,441,801
6,346,160	6,054,207	5,948,524	5,695,874	5,406,768
6,342,172	6,015,612	5,932,334	5,539,027	
6,280,667	6,015,611	5,882,564	5,518,677	
6,265,037	6,004,668	D402,770	5,497,594	
*Additional p	atents pending			

**FIBREX**®

Window Profile Examples:







### Photos

The following photos are provided for context.



620 N Arthur Ashe Boulevard, Richmond, Virginia



Windows visible in the public right of way



Window1, 2, 3

Window 4

Window 5



Window 6, 7, 8

Window 9



Interior view of windows to be replaced



Window 11

Interior view of window to be replaced



Details of window damage

### Conclusion

It is the desire and need of the Applicants to replace the north-facing storm door and third-floor windows at the house located on their Property. The presented options for doing so comply with the City of Richmond Historic District Guidelines and respect the look and feel of the period architecture of the structure and historic district. The Applicants are ready and willing to discuss with the Commission of Architectural Review the various options available to resolve the current issue of a deteriorating storm door and thirdfloor windows with the hope of arriving at a mutually agreeable solution.

Respectfully submitted,

David and Cynthia Woodmansee Owners and Applicants 620 N Arthur Ashe Boulevard Richmond, VA 23220 2

Property Address: 620 N. Arthur Astr Bild. (Rage 1 of 2 Replace and reglaze broken panes, waterproof and repaint wood. Proposed treatment Replace Replace Rep lace Replace Replace 0

# MMISSION OF ARCHITECTURAL REVIE

## WINDOW ASSESSMENT FORM

	<b>SuleV letoT</b>	2	14	5	F	5	5
änt	Panes and Paring Putty	ß	Ч	4	H	4	Ŧ
Window Assessment	snitnuM	1	NA	4	N/A	T	H
ow Ass	Selite	1	3	M	3	3	M
Windo	slisA	2	50	3	5	3	M
	sdmel	ч	3	M	3	3	ŝ
	lətniJ bns lli2	7	7	H	-+	Н	54
E	broken panes? Sissing or	Yes	Ź	105	2° N	255	3
Condition	Operable?	No	No	No	ŝ	Na	Yes
eral Co	Square?	Yes	Na	No	No	9Z	No N
General (	Saint condition?	Poor	Rool	Paor	Roor	Poor	Pool
E	lsinsteM	Wood	wood	wood	Wood	lucad	lunad
formatic	bns dtbiW Height	36" X 78"	10 40	32 66	40 40	8×2	7×2
Window Information	əlţt	Double- hung 2/2	1/1 Subti -21970	Contric Double-	Pouble- Hang 1/1	Bouhic Pouble - Hund - //1	Bowle- Dowle- Hyng
	# wobniW	Ä	4	2	\$	4	ŝ





Property Address: 6.20 N. Arthor Ashe BING. (tage 2 of 2)

Proposed treatment

Replace and reglaze broken panes, waterproof and repaint wood.

RODACE

Replace

Replace

Replace

Replace

Ralace

### ISSION OF ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW WINDOW ASSESSMENT FORM

	suleV letoT	9	¥	5	7	I	N	5
ent	Panes and Putty	m	4	Ţ	Ŧ	4	4	Ţ
Assessment	snifnuM	Ļ	NA	4	NA	NA	4	Н
3	səlitč	1	3	m	$\sim$	3	$\mathbb{C}$	3
Windo	slisA	2	3	3	3	ŝ	3	m
	sdmsl	1	3	3	S	M	3	$\mathcal{M}$
	lətniJ bns lli2	2	H	H	4	4	H	4
Ę	broken panes? Missing or	Yes	No	Y.	No	No	No	No
nditio	Operable?	8 S	No	Z	S	No	No	ŝ
General Condition	Square?	Yes	٥N	2	So No	8N	сŊ	ŝ
Gen	faint condition?	Poor	Poor	Roor	Poor	Pool	Poor	les l
S	lsin9tsM	Nood	Ward	Waad	Nood	Nocel	hood	[Dood]
formati	bns dtbiW JdgisH	36" 78"	3×3	32 62 33	ex e	77 57	£ × 13	Hs × m
Window Information	əlţz	Double- hung 2/2	-2017-	Pachic Pachic- Pland 	Pouble- Hung	Public -	Dauble- Pauble-	Paulos-2/10-
	# wobniW	Щ	9	5	$\nabla$	9	P	1 =



2
0
C

